

Town of Paradise Valley

6401 E Lincoln Dr Paradise Valley, AZ 85253

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 18-035 Version: 1 Name:

Type:Study Session ItemStatus:Agenda ReadyFile created:1/5/2018In control:Town Council

Title: Discussion of Amendments to Small Cell Ordinance

15 Minutes

Sponsors: Town Council

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Draft Ordinance 2018-01 Small Cell Ord Revisions (12-22-17), 2. 011118 Smal Cell Amendments

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
1/11/2018	1	Town Council	Received and Filed	

TO: Mayor Collins and Town Council Members

FROM: Kevin Burke, Town Manager

Andrew Miller, Town Attorney

DATE: January 11, 2018

DEPARTMENT: Town Manager

AGENDA TITLE:

Discussion of Amendments to Small Cell Ordinance

Town	Va	lue	S):

\boxtimes	Primarily one-acre,	residential	community
	Limited governmen	t	

☐ Creating a sense of community

 $\hfill\square$ Partnerships with existing schools and resorts to enhance recreational opportunities

☐ Improving aesthetics/creating a brand

☐ Preserving natural open space

Paradise Valley is primarily a one-acre residential community. Enabling cell service while preserving that residential feel is a core value of the Town.

Council Goals or Statutory Requirements:

Responsiveness to Residents - Identify and consider resident concerns in a timely manner and seek solutions to the best of the Town's ability. Cell Service

File #: 18-035, Version: 1

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends adopting several amendment to Ordinance 2017-05 that was adopted in August of 2017.

SUMMARY STATEMENT:

In 2017, the Arizona State Legislature adopted a new statute governing the placement of small cell antenna facilities in public rights-of-ways (ROW). The Town heavily lobbied against this bill from inception right up until final adoption. At final adoption the Town gained concessions that made the statute workable within Town Values. Council, Planning Commission and staff worked diligently from adoption until the effective date in early August to revise the Town's ordinance governing these facilities. This process included seven different public meetings. Unfortuantely, industry lobbyists and attorneys (excluding Cox) did not participate until the final public meeting. At which time they expressed numerous concerns about the Town's ordinance and asserted that it was not compliant with the new State Statute. Staff fervently disagrees, but acknowledges some changes that are inconsequential to the Town's approach and others that makes the ordinance more resilient under the 2017 State law governing these facilities.

These changes include, treating the faux cactus and 56th and Lincoln objective design standards as "either/or" rather that a first and second preference. Both Planning Commission and Council exprseed that either design standard was satisfactory during the development of this ordinance. Staff originally prioritized the faux cactus to reflect the historical emphasis on camouflaged utility infrastructure. The 56th and Lincoln design criteria is applicable only when a carrier seeks to place the antenna on an existing traffic or light pole. Therefore, the two design standards are not in competition and serve different circumstances. Further, there is clarifying language regarding the placement of the faux cactus. These amendments are inconsequential to the Town but important to industry.

Second, the current ordinance requires an illustration depicting the RF on a small cell antenna. While industry has always maintained that they are not required to provide them under federal and now state law, they have generally done so voluntarily. This removes that requirement from the ordinance with the exception of plans associated with the safe maintenance of facilities on third party utility poles.

Lastly, the current ordinance re-states noise an environmental laws that may be applicable to the placement of a small cell facility. This amendment simply makes these a cross-reference to avoid confusion that the language in this ordinance is new or additionally imposed conditions. This further provides the benefit of not have to make sure this ordinance is updated when those noise and environmental regulations are updated independently.

This ordinance amendment will be reviewed in study session on January 11 with anticipation of action either on January 25 or February 8.

BUDGETARY IMPACT:

No budgetary impact from the proposed changes.

ATTACHMENT(S):

Proposed Ordinance 2018-01