

PROCUREMENT NUMBER:	<u>20-114-CMD Request for Proposal</u> General Plan Update					
PROCUREMENT TITLE:						
DATE PUBLISHED ON Bonfire (E- procurement webpage):	-	August 24, 2020	DATE CLOSED:	September 14, 2020		
CONTRACT TYPE:		Firm fixed				
NUMBER OF PROPOSAL DOWNLOADS:		24				
NUMBER OF RESPONSES RECEIN CONTRACT TERM:	/ED:	3 Two (2) Years. The term of any resultant contract shall commence upon award and shall continue for a period of the earlier of twenty- four (24) months from the date of award or until all work required by the Consultant is` completed and accepted by the Town.				
CONTRACT ANNUAL VALUE (EST.):		\$124,900.00				
FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS (EST.):					
		The initial expenditure is budgeted with payment contract includes a con General Plan Ratification exceed is \$124,900.00.	split between two (2) tingency to spend a	fiscal year budgets. The n additional \$4,972 for		

1. Number (3) responses were received in response to this procurement. The respondents were:

- 1.1 Matrix Design Group
- 1.2 Michael Baker International
- **1.3** PLANet Communities

4.

Contract Intent: The general objective by the Town of Paradise Valley is to develop a 2022 General Plan to 2. update the Town's 2012 General Plan through a process that complies with Arizona Revised Statutes, Town codes, and meets the concierge level of engagement Town residents expect for a long-range planning process.

3. **Evaluation Committee composed of the following team members:**

Jill Keimach – Town Manager Loras Rauch – Special Projects Planner Paul Michaud – Planning Manager

Peggy Ferrin – Procurement Coordinator

- The responses were evaluated to select the most responsible offer whose proposal is determined in writing to be the most advantageous based on the following criteria in relative order of importance:
 - a) Methodology (45 points)
 - b) Experience/Expertise and References (30 points)
 - c) Cost of Services to the Town (20 points)
 - d) Compliance with the Towns Terms and Conditions (5 points)

5. In the initial evaluation the results of the evaluation scoring were as follows:

Respondent	Score
Michael Baker International	90.67
PLANet Communities	76.67
Matrix Design Group	66.67

6. The Evaluation Committee shortlisted the following respondents: In the final evaluation the results of the Interview/Presentation scores were as follows:

Respondent	Score	Respondent	Score
Michael Baker International	90.67	PLANet Communities	57.33

7. **Recommendation of Contract Award:** Michael Baker International Basis of Award: Highest scoring, most qualified response.

ggy a. Seri

Peggy A. Ferrin, CPPB, Procurement Coordinator

September 24, 2020 Date