



Town of Paradise Valley

6401 E Lincoln Dr
Paradise Valley, AZ 85253

Minutes - Draft

Planning Commission

Tuesday, April 7, 2020

6:00 PM

Council Chambers

**IN-PERSON ATTENDANCE AT PUBLIC MEETINGS HAS BEEN SUSPENDED UNTIL FURTHER NOTICE. WATCH LIVE STREAMED MEETINGS AT:
<https://paradisevalleyaz.legistar.com/Calendar.aspx>**

1. CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Wainwright called the meeting to order at 6:10 p.m.

Jeremy Knapp, Community Development Director, announced that a sign was placed on the door to Town Hall which included tonight's meeting agenda and instructions on how to participate electronically. He then gave some instructions on how to make the meeting run smoothly via Zoom.

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT

Town Attorney Andrew M. Miller (attended via teleconference)
Community Development Director Jeremy Knapp
Planning Manager Paul Michaud
Senior Planner George Burton

2. ROLL CALL

All Planning Commission Members attended by teleconference.

Present 6 - Chairman Jonathan Wainwright
Commissioner Charles Covington
Commissioner Pamela Georgelos
Commissioner Daran Wastchak
Commissioner Thomas Campbell
Commissioner James Anton

Absent 1 - Commissioner Orme Lewis

3. EXECUTIVE SESSION

None

4. STUDY SESSION ITEMS

A. [20-149](#)**SUP-20-03. Discussion of Crown Castle Intermediate Special Use Permit Amendment.**

George Burton, Senior Planner, introduced the item including the background. He also reviewed the scope of the request at all three locations and the Statement of Direction made by Council at their March 26, 2020 meeting. He then announced that the applicant's Citizen Review Meeting would be held on April 20, 2020 and the Public Hearing on May 5, 2020.

Tanya Friese, applicant, introduced herself and indicated she was willing to answer any questions.

Commissioner Campbell asked if the mesh was tighter than what the rendering shows.

Ms. Friese indicated the spaces were less than an inch big. She added that the material was the same as what was found at their traffic signal sites.

Commissioner Campbell requested that the screening be oriented for maximum screening. He asked for a sample of the mesh or a photo that clarified the scale.

Ms. Friese clarified that the cabinets consisted of three mesh sides, a solid metal side, and solid roof and that the cabinet could be oriented in whatever direction they preferred. She also noted there was multiple viewing locations since the cabinet was located on a corner.

Commissioner Wastchak agreed with Commissioner Campbell on needing more information on the mesh material. He added that he would also like to know more of the color options and if a rusted metal finish was an option.

Commissioner Covington indicated he was comfortable with a rusted metal material. He then asked why the box could not be screened with creosote bushes.

Commissioner Wastchak noted that the location on Tatum Boulevard already has several unscreened boxes and it may be unfair to ask them to screen theirs when others were not required to do so.

Mr. Knapp, Community Development Director, stated that Council had talked about doing a separate Town project at this site to screen all the equipment but did not feel it was fair to request that of the applicant.

Commissioner Campbell preferred that the solid face of the box be oriented to the street.

Chairman Wainwright shared that he believed there was consensus from the Commission to have the solid face toward the street.

Commissioner Georgelos inquired if they had concern about the material of the boxes since they would be screened at all three locations.

Commissioner Wastchak clarified that was only at the Tatum Boulevard location.

Commissioner Georgelos suggested considering the material of the boxes at locations that are not screened.

Commission Campbell recommended they use whatever materials will blend in the best.

Commissioner Wastchak expressed that he felt the rust material would be best for all three.

Chairman Wainwright agreed with Commissioner Wastchak.

Commissioner Georgelos noted that would be consistent with what they do throughout the Town.

Ms. Friese responded that she agreed with using the same rusted material for all three cabinets. She asked for further clarification on the preferred orientation.

Commissioner Wastchak explained that the solid panel on the first cabinet would face Tatum Boulevard and the other two would face Invergordon Road.

Commissioner Anton asked if all three boxes were the same size.

Mr. Burton replied they were.

Ms. Friese stated they were indifferent to the orientation of the boxes as long as they can access the equipment.

Commissioner Wastchak asked if the new box was required since they were putting in updated equipment that would no longer fit in the existing faux rocks.

Ms. Friese explained that the battery equipment contained in the faux rock would be held in the new cabinet along with new equipment. She noted that they had explored putting in a larger faux rock, but heat was an issue.

Commissioner Georgelos asked if they would be able to see a sample of the material prior to the hearing.

Mr. Burton indicated they could have another work session on April 21, 2020 or skip that work session and provide photos to the Commission via email.

Chairman Wainwright indicated he was happy to streamline it and go off photos provided before the hearing.

Commissioner Campbell agreed.

Commissioner Wastchak stated he would prefer taking an additional work session to be sure everything was worked out prior to the hearing, unless it was

the only item on the agenda. He asked how quickly the applicant could get details on the material to the Commission.

Mr. Knapp shared that this was the only item on the next meeting's agenda.

Ms. Friese replied that they could do an unfinished metal that would oxidize and rust. She sent in a photo of an existing cabinet that could provide them more information on the mesh material.

Commissioner Covington asked if this was compatible with the upcoming 5G.

Ms. Friese stated the equipment would fit in the cabinets, but the antennae would need to be changed. She added that they would be replacing the faux cacti this year to help support 5G as well.

No Reportable Action

5. PUBLIC HEARINGS

A. [20-160](#)**Consideration of a Minor Special Use Permit Amendment
St. Barnabas Church (SUP-20-02). 6715 N. Mockingbird Lane**

Mr. Knapp commented that they have 15-18 people attending from the public that may want to comment on this item. He asked if those that would like to comment could raise their hand feature in the app or make a comment on the chat box in Zoom.

Mr. Burton presented an overview of the item which included the scope of the request and comments previously made by the Commission on this item at the March 3, 2020 meeting.

Commissioner Wastchak asked if plans would make the parking lot more compliant compared to what it is today.

Mr. Burton replied they would. He indicated what parking areas would have the stalls expanded to meet the nine-foot width requirement and which parking areas would not meet that requirement.

Commissioner Wastchak asked why the applicant did not want to make all the parking spaces comply. The parking stalls in the red areas were six inches smaller than those in the green area.

Mr. Burton believed that the applicant wanted to keep the parking count from going down.

Commissioner Anton commented that cars are getting bigger and he was disappointed that the applicant was not taking advantage of getting the parking stalls to the size they should be.

Commissioner Georgelos asked if there was a way to meet the required parking numbers and stall size.

Mr. Burton stated the church site needs a minimum of 203 parking spaces and currently the proposal is for 315 parking spaces. He did not know what the total parking count would be if the applicant brought them all into compliance, although he did not believe it would remove 100 parking spaces.

David Getts, applicant, remarked that they are trying to grow, and a limiting factor is parking and asked that he would be disappointed if they lost spaces over this project.

Derik Schumacher, project engineer for the applicant, explained that they would lose about four spaces in the southeast parking lot and eight parking spaces in the northeast parking lot for a total of 12 parking spaces, if they were to expand each stall to meet the nine-foot standard.

Commissioner Campbell noted that they may lose more spaces if they keep the smaller stalls, since large cars will spill over into spaces and make them

unusable.

Mr. Schumacher indicated that the green parking lots were the most heavily used and then the northeast parking lot.

Jeff Bell, senior church warden, noted that he had never seen problems with larger vehicles parking in their smaller parking stalls or spilling over into other spaces.

Chris Winters, with the engineering firm for the applicant, commented that one of the primary goals in redoing the parking lot was to get more spaces. Taking 12 spots away would put them below the existing space count of 306. They have tried to provide nine-foot wide stalls where they felt it mattered and asked that the plan be approved as is.

Commissioner Anton shared that with bigger cars and older people they really need to keep up with the standards. He suggested that they hold an additional service to accommodate parking needs.

Commissioner Campbell agreed with Commissioner Anton.

Commissioner Georgelos asked for further detail information on how meeting the requirements might be affected by the light poles and planter boxes.

Commissioner Wastchak asked about potential additional parking along the north property line.

Mr. Burton stated that there were retention basins in that area. He then briefly reviewed the drainage plans for the site.

Mr. Winters remarked that they have explored other areas for parking and did not find additional space.

Chairman Wainwright commented that he may be in the minority, but felt if the eight and a half foot stalls worked for the applicant he would be inclined to give it to them.

Mr. Bell shared that this was an expensive project and parking is a critical issue to them as a growing church, even with the addition of another service. He asked that the Commission consider the cost that it would put upon them if they had to move any light poles or planter boxes as well.

It was noted that the west and northeast parking lots had light fixtures they were trying to avoid moving, along with a shade canopy in the southeast parking lot.

Mr. Winters indicated he could take a look at realigning the parking spaces under the shade structure to meet the nine-foot standard.

Commissioner Campbell suggested that could be a good compromise.

Commissioner Anton stated he did not feel the applicant tried hard enough to fit

in more nine-foot spaces without moving lights and incurring more costs and would like to see that before the Commission makes any accommodations.

Commissioner Wastchak recommended having the applicant focus on getting the northeast parking lot in compliance and compromising more in the southeast corner, since that parking lot was mostly for staff.

Commissioner Anton reiterated that he was willing to work with them, but did not want to set a precedence for allowing smaller parking spaces.

Mr. Burton noted the Commission could continue the item if they did not want to make a decision tonight.

Mr. Winters indicated that he was willing to take another look to bring as many more spaces in compliance as they can.

Commissioner Campbell inquired if the shade structure supports were down the center line.

Mr. Winters replied that they were.

Commissioner Campbell noted that striping should not be restricted in that area then.

Mr. Winters responded that was true, but noted the layout is constrained by the light fixture posts and the planter curb.

Commissioner Covington commented if they could get rid of the curb islands they could generate more nine-foot spaces.

Commissioner Campbell asked if they were keeping all the existing curbs, planters, and light footings in the northeast parking lot.

Mr. Winters replied that there will be slight modifications to the size of the medians and light locations.

Commissioner Campbell stated that he was less sympathetic to them not meeting the standards if they were making those types of changes already.

Mr. Bell noted that plans were to help make the parking lot more visually pleasing as well.

Chairman Wainwright commented that requiring them to lose a few spots may result in not getting a prettier parking area.

Commissioner Georgelos shared that she understands the need for beautification of the grounds and parking lot, but is confused by how much of the parking lot they were intending to re-do. She added that she would like to see more effort put into meeting the standards, especially if there are changes to footings and curbs already happening.

Commissioner Covington agreed with Commissioner Georgelos.

Mr. Getts indicated that they understood how the Commissions feels and would revisit the plans to see what else they can do to comply.

Mr. Burton continued with his presentation sharing more information on the scope of the parking lot changes, drainage, and retention improvements.

Commissioner Anton commented that he went and visited the site after a large rain fall and the water in the north central portion of the parking lot was deep and a couple days later he went to check on it again and it appeared that the dry well in that area was not working.

Mr. Schumacher stated that as part of the project they would stipulate that all the dry wells get cleaned out so that they drain within 24-36 hours.

Mr. Getts confirmed that they would be servicing the dry well and noted that there will always be some ponding after a rain storm.

Mr. Burton proceeded with the presentation on drainage and retention improvements. He asked if the Commission was still okay with the deviation from the ponding limit that they discussed at their last meeting.

Commissioner Campbell asked if their packets indicated how many stalls would be impacted if they allowed nine-inch deep ponding.

Mr. Schumacher responded that they would be impacting fewer than 20% of the spaces and the spaces impacted were in remote areas with the exception of about four or five spaces. He clarified that 20% was around 57 of the 315.

Further discussion was made on what areas would be affected by ponding.

Commissioner Covington suggested they stipulate that the applicant get the existing drywells to function at their best performance.

Next, Mr. Burton provided an overview of the proposed plans for signage. He presented information on Option A and Option B for the directional signs.

Commissioner Georgelos and Commissioner Wastchak indicated they preferred Option B.

Commissioner Covington noted Option B was staff's preference as well.

Mr. Burton continued his presentation on signs sharing information on the proposed identification signs. He then reviewed information on the new cross for the property and noted that documentation was provided identifying that the adjoining Five Star development did not have concerns with it.

Mr. Burton addressed landscaping plans for the property which included the addition of 111 new trees and that all new landscaping would be Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) compliant. He then

reviewed the new canopy plans which included changes to the lighting and height of the playground canopy to address comments made by the Commission at their last meeting. Further information was then given on the walkway and parking canopies.

Commissioner Campbell commented that he liked the design of the canopies.

Commissioner Wastchak pointed out that Shea Homes, the developer of the properties to the north and northeast, provided a letter saying that they were not in support of the canopy over the playground because of the height and solar panels. Shea Homes had suggested having a shade sail instead of solar panels.

Mr. Getts responded that they want to have solar on the property, but if it is important to the Commission to not have solar in that location they would be willing to install a fabric shade structure over the playground equipment. He showed some renderings of what it could look like and noted they also planned to do fencing around the playground area.

Commissioner Campbell inquired how far the Shea property owners are from the proposed shade structure.

Mr. Burton stated that the proposed shade structure is setback 65 feet from the property line.

Commissioner Anton asked if there was a maintenance plan for the fabric structure, since unlike the solar panels, fabric will deteriorate more in the Arizona sun.

Mr. Getts indicated they had not determined the maintenance plan, but always intend to maintain their shade structures.

Commissioner Campbell asked if the solar panels over the playground area get removed, would the applicant relocate them elsewhere on the property.

Mr. Getts explained that they would prefer to have the solar panels over the playground, but wanted to please the neighbors as well.

Commissioner Wastchak stated that he appreciated the accommodations the applicant is willing to make for the neighbors, but he did not want to see the loss of the solar panels. He would be willing to approve both options so that the church had the flexibility to work with the neighbors more.

Commissioner Covington agreed.

Commissioner Georgelos noted that either option was within their guidelines, but would like to stipulate that appropriately, so all parties know what is happening.

Mr. Burton reviewed additional site improvements including the new outdoor kitchen, new water feature, new and resurfaced pathways, and new fences and

site walls.

Commissioner Wastchak asked if the selection for the fence around the playground area was intended to block sound from the neighbors, since playgrounds can generate large amounts of noise.

Mr. Winters indicated that the design did not consider sound, but the church leaders were mostly concerned with the safety of the children on the playground. He added that none of the neighbors have expressed concerns about noise.

Mr. Burton continued his presentation addressing the proposed lighting plans for the site which included pole lights, wall mounted lights, surface mounted lights, recessed mounted lights, ground mounted lights, and tree lights. He shared the lighting schedule and noted that the total lighting output met the Special Use Permit (SUP) guidelines.

Commissioner Wastchak asked where the 16-foot tall light poles would be located. He noted that he was most concerned with the lighting near residential properties.

Mr. Burton noted that one of the neighbors expressed concern about the 16-foot tall pole lights in the northeast corner. He noted that switching to lower poles may require the church to put up more poles with greater brightness to get the same amount of coverage.

Mr. Winters stated that they explored lowering poles, but that could not be done with using the existing infrastructure which was their plan. He clarified that the 16-foot tall poles created evenly distributed light across the whole site while using the existing infrastructure. He noted that they are still working with Shea Homes on this issue and have suggested adding more trees to shade the light from the adjacent properties.

Commissioner Wastchak expressed that he still did not like the idea of tall light poles, since it is not something the Commission encourages in the Town next to residential lots.

Commissioner Campbell noted that the applicant may have to take more parking spaces away if they have to add more light fixtures.

Mr. Winters shared that the proposed lighting would not have any glares or hot spots, adding he would like to continue negotiations with the adjacent neighbors to work out a compromise.

Commissioner Campbell asked if the Commission could get a rendering that showed neighbors walls, landscaping, and nearest row or 16-foot poles.

Mr. Winters replied that could be provided.

Commissioner Covington pointed out that even if the applicant is able to work out a compromise with the neighbors, he does not support 16-foot tall light

poles.

Mr. Winters responded that they were unaware the Town discouraged having 16-foot tall light poles, since they are allowed within the guidelines.

Mr. Burton clarified that the proposed 16-foot tall lights are compliant with SUP guidelines. He added that the perimeter lighting would only be operating from dusk to 10 p.m. and interior lighting will be dusk to dawn with a 50% reduction in lighting after 10 p.m. He could clarify in a stipulation what is perimeter and what is internal lighting.

Chairman Wainwright expressed that he would also prefer lights lower in height, but recognized the proposed 16-foot tall fixtures meet the guidelines.

Commissioner Campbell commented that the most sensitive area was the top row of lights on the northeast lot. He suggested having lower poles put closer together placed in the east to west landscape median in the northeast lot.

Mr. Getts stated that was a good suggestion and would look into that further.

Mr. Burton reviewed the public comments that had been received by staff. He then briefly reviewed the applicant's request as well as staff's recommendations.

Discussion was made on when the Commission should continue the item. It was determined to revisit the item again in mid-May.

Chairman Wainwright noted that people would be given an opportunity to make public comment at this meeting as well as the May 19, 2020 meeting.

Mr. Knapp stated that six individuals indicated they would like to make a comment and that Allie Tossberg indicated that she did not want to speak but was in support. He added that Judee Morrison who previously requested to speak wanted to show her support, but also did not want to comment tonight.

Jim Clark thanked the Town for their support they have been given.

Jeff Bell commented that the project was five years in the making and that their membership is overwhelmingly in support of what they are doing and that they were off to a good start with their fundraising campaign.

Jim Rector stated he was a longtime resident and had attended the church for many years. He shared that he felt that this was a wonderful project and would benefit anyone who comes to the campus and pass by it.

Richard Frazee announced that he was speaking in behalf of the Five Star Development and Ritz Carlton Resort. He noted they share three property lines with the church and have always had positive interactions, as they have worked with them to create and maintain a contiguous interface. He indicated they were in support of the SUP.

Bruce Williams commented that he was a long-time resident and member of the St. Barnabas Church. He felt that this project was essential to bring the church up-to-date and was a great way to welcome the new community to St. Barnabas.

Mr. Knapp indicated that he did not have any other requests for public comment.

Chairman Wainwright reviewed some of the concerns addressed by the Commission during the meeting including width of parking spaces and the height of light poles.

Commissioner Campbell asked if there were homeowners along the east side of the northeast lot. He asked that they consider lighting along both the east and north sides of the lot.

It was indicated there are homes in that area, but those homes are located further back.

Chairman Wainwright closed the public hearing.

A motion was made by Commissioner Wastchak, seconded by Commissioner Georgelos, to continue the public hearing for the Minor Special Use Permit at the Saint Barnabas Church (SUP-20-02) located at 6715 N. Mockingbird Lane which is to renovate and replace the parking lot/parking area, update the site drainage and retention, replace and add new signage, re-landscape the campus, improve the playground area with a new solar panel shade structure and fencing, add new trellis shade canopies with solar panels, replace and add new site lighting, and replace a covered parking canopy with a solar panel parking canopy to the regular Planning Commission meeting of May 19, 2020.

The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 6 - Chairman Wainwright, Commissioner Covington, Commissioner Georgelos, Commissioner Wastchak, Commissioner Campbell, and Commissioner Anton

Absent: 1 - Commissioner Lewis

6. ACTION ITEMS

A. [20-116](#) Recommendation of Noticing for Application Requests

Paul Michaud, Planning Manager, provided background on the item. He reviewed the new mailing radius recommendations for legislative applications, administrative applications, and the reasons behind each of those recommendations. He asked what size mailing radius the Commission would recommend for non-administrative Special Use Permit (SUP) land modifications.

Commissioner Campbell expressed he preferred lowering it to a 1,000-foot radius.

Commissioner Georgelos indicated that she would like to error on the higher end of things and keep the mailing radius at a 1,500-foot radius.

Chairman Wainwright and Commissioner Covington stated they were in support of a 1,000-foot radius. Commissioner Wastchak and Commissioner Anton both indicated they agreed.

Mr. Michaud continued his presentation on mailing radiuses for administrative applications. He reviewed suggestions for sign posting date recommendations and the reasons behind those recommendations. Mr. Michaud provided information concerning sign posting size recommendations and provided some examples of what those would look like. He briefly reviewed possible sign posting content.

Commissioner Wastchak asked if the Commission could discuss the posting of signs further. He expressed having concerns with how long signs are left out. He suggested that having some signs out longer could be beneficial.

Mr. Michaud responded that if the Commission wanted to encourage earlier notification that would be good to state, and that early notification could be discussed further at the Council level.

Mr. Knapp noted that staff was in support of earlier notification and suggested the Commission to include support of earlier notification in their motion.

Commissioner Wastchak stated he would like to include earlier notification, specifically an earlier notification on the property, in their recommendation to Council.

Commissioner Campbell, Chairman Wainwright, Commissioner Georgelos, Commissioner Anton and Commissioner Covington voiced their support for Commissioner Wastchak's recommendation.

Commissioner Campbell motioned to forward the noticing recommendations included in their packets with the adjustment to the SUP non-administrative category to a 1,000-foot radius and encourage Council to additionally require signage earlier in the process that is not limited to hearing notifications.

A motion was made by Commissioner Campbell, seconded by Commissioner

Wastchak, to recommend forwarding to the Town Council the mailing notice radius and property posting as described in Attachment A, Proposed Noticing Table. In summary, this includes the following:

1. Lowering the mailing radius for properties outside the Town limits to match the typical mailing radius for that community (300' Phoenix, 300' Maricopa County, 750' Scottsdale). The applicant may use the Town's mailing radius distance or the neighboring jurisdiction mailing radius for the application type for properties outside Town limits, whichever is lower. The Community Development Director may require a modified mailing radius based on the potential impact of the application request;
2. Maintaining the typical 1,500' mailing radius for legislative applications due to the major changes from the existing condition and/or non-residential nature of most legislative applications. Also, adding a notification policy for annexation;
3. Reducing the mailing radius for most administrative applications approved by the public body. Specifically, having a 1,000' mailing radius for Conditional Use Permits, Minor Special Use Permits, and Non-Administrative Land Modifications for Special Use Permit-zoned property (e.g. replats, lot splits) due to their predominant non-residential nature and having a 500' mailing radius for residential plat/land modifications;
4. Maintaining the mailing radius for administrative applications approved by staff at adjoining property owners or no mailing notice depending on the application type;
5. Specifying when an application requires a site posting that the applicant use a sign that is 6 square feet in size (2' x 3') at 6' tall in front yard and along any other yard with street frontage, not placed in the right-of-way. Requiring a larger 16 square-foot sign (4' x 4') for Major and Intermediate Special Use Permit applications; and
6. Encouraging the Town Council to examine signage earlier in the application process.

The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 6 - Chairman Wainwright, Commissioner Covington, Commissioner Georgelos, Commissioner Wastchak, Commissioner Campbell, and Commissioner Anton

Absent: 1 - Commissioner Lewis

B. [20-159](#) Selection of Chairperson per Section 2-5-2 of the Town Code

Commissioner Wainwright thanked everyone for the opportunity to serve as their Chair and noted that all seven members of the Commission were eligible to be Chair.

Commissioner Campbell asked if Chairman Wainwright was available to serve as the Chairman for another term.

Commissioner Wainwright indicated he and the rest of the Commission Members were all available to serve for the next year. He noted that he had submitted a petition to run for Town Council and would no longer be able to serve on the Commission starting in January if he was elected.

A motion was made by Commissioner Campbell, seconded by Commissioner Covington, to nominate Commissioner Wainwright for a second term as Chairman of the Planning Commission to the Town Council.

The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 6 - Chairman Wainwright, Commissioner Covington, Commissioner Georgelos, Commissioner Wastchak, Commissioner Campbell, and Commissioner Anton

Absent: 1 - Commissioner Lewis

7. CONSENT AGENDA**A. [20-161](#) Approval of March 17, 2020 Planning Commission Minutes**

A motion was made by Commissioner Wastchak, seconded by Commissioner Covington, to approve the March 17, 2020 Planning Commission minutes. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 6 - Chairman Wainwright, Commissioner Covington, Commissioner Georgelos, Commissioner Wastchak, Commissioner Campbell, and Commissioner Anton

Absent: 1 - Commissioner Lewis

8. STAFF REPORTS

None

9. PUBLIC BODY REPORTS

Commissioner Wastchak thanked Commissioner Anton for continuing to serve on the Commission until he can be replaced.

10. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

Mr. Knapp stated they would be cancelling the April 21, 2020 meeting for lack of agenda items. He added that they would have a hearing for the Crown Castle Equipment Cage at the May 5, 2020 meeting and a hearing for St. Barnabas Church Amendments at the May 19, 2020 meeting.

11. ADJOURNMENT

A motion was made by Commissioner Wastchak at 9:45 p.m., seconded by Commissioner Georgelos, to adjourn the meeting. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Chairman Wainwright, Commissioner Covington, Commissioner Georgelos, Commissioner Wastchak, and Commissioner Campbell

Nay: 1 - Commissioner Anton

Absent: 1 - Commissioner Lewis

Paradise Valley Planning Commission

By: _____
Jeremy Knapp, Secretary