October 27, 2016
Fred Fleet
COE \& VAN LOO CONSULTANTS, INC.
4550 N. 12th Street
Phoenix, AZ 85014


Expires 12/31/2017

## RE: The Villas at Cheney Estates Trip Generation Statement - Paradise Valley, Arizona

Dear Mr. Fleet,
CivTech is pleased to provide this trip generation statement for The Villas at Cheney Estates development located on the northwest corner of Scottsdale Road and Northern Avenue alignment in Paradise Valley, Arizona. The approximately 4.4 acre site is proposed to consist of eight (8) dwelling units. The purpose of this trip generation statement is to document the amount of trips the development is anticipated to generate, the proposed access conditions of the site, the volume of peak hour turning movements that are expected to occur and the sight distance requirements for the corner clearance.

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

The site encompasses approximately 4.4 acres and is located on the northwest corner of Scottsdale Road and Northern Avenue. The site is a previously graded, undeveloped dirt lot. Scottsdale Road forms the eastern boundary of the site. The northwest boundary of the site is adjacent to the Camelback Golf Club. The Northern Avenue alignment passes along the southern border of the site without plans for extension; a public works station as well as a drainage facility blocks its potential intersection with Scottsdale Road indicating no future plans to construct and intersection at the alignment. The Cheney Estates residential subdivision is located to the south of the Northern Avenue alignment and the Santo Thomas residential subdivisions are located to the east of Scottsdale Road. A driveway ramp is already constructed to Scottsdale Road to provide access to the site.

## PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT AND TRIP GENERATION

The development is proposed to consist of eight (8) single family dwelling units. A site plan is included as Exhibit A within the Attachments.

Generated trips were estimated for the proposed development utilizing the data given in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, $9^{\text {th }}$ Edition and the methodology discussed in the ITE Trip Generation Handbook, $3^{r d}$ Edition. The ITE Trip Generation Manual contains data collected by various transportation professionals for a wide range of different land uses. The data are summarized in the report and average rates and equations have been established that correlate the relationship between an independent variable that describes the development size and generated trips for each categorized land use. The report provides information for daily and peak hour trips.

Table 1 summarizes trip generation for the proposed development. This information is also presented in the Attachments.

Table 1 - Trip Generation Summary

| Land Use | ITE Code | Size |  | Weekday Generated Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
|  |  | Quantity | Units | Total | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit | Total |
| Houses | 210 | 8 | DU | 76 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 8 |

The development is expected to generate approximately 76 daily trips during a typical weekday, of which 6 trips are anticipated during the AM peak hour and 8 trips during the PM peak hour.

## ACCESS CONDITIONS

## Geometry

Access conditions are depicted in Exhibit B within the Attachments. Scottsdale Road, which provides access to the site, is classified by the City of Scottsdale as a suburban major arterial and is considered a major arterial by the Town of Paradise Valley. Scottsdale Road has a posted speed limit of 45 miles per hour and provides three (3) general lanes and one (1) bike lane in each direction, separated by a raised center median adjacent to the site.
Public access to the site is proposed at the existing driveway ramp to Scottsdale Road. At the driveway, Scottsdale Road's center median is configured to allow left turns in and left turns out with a refuge area for 2 -stage left turns. Thus the existing configuration provides full access. A secondary driveway for emergency access is proposed east of the planned cul-de-sac. The existing driveway will provide sufficient access to the site and access to the Northern Avenue alignment is not required or desired.

## Turning Movements

A simple north/south distribution is assumed for trips generated by the site such that 60 percent of trips are projected to enter/exit the site traveling to/from the south and the remaining 40 percent to/from the north. This distribution is applied to the trip generation of the site to project turning movements at the driveway. The results are summarized in Table 2 and depicted in Exhibit B within the Attachments.

Table 2 - Projected Turning Movements

| Time Period | NB Left | SB Right | EB Left | EB right |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Daily | 23 | 15 | 15 | 23 |
| AM Peak Hour | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| PM Peak Hour | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 |

The site is expected to generate approximately 3 or fewer vehicle trips in any turning movement in or out of the site during any 1 -hour period. The $\pm 135$ feet of existing storage for the northbound left turn lane is expected to be sufficient for the future queuing demand of the movement. A right turn deceleration lane is not required per the Town's criteria but is required by the City of Scottsdale as Scottsdale Road is classified as a major arterial. As the development is expected to generate very few trips during the peak hours and the driveway was already constructed and

## CivTech

exists without a right turn lane, the developer proposes to maintain the existing driveway conditions and not construct a new southbound right turn lane.

The driveway will provide approximately 75 feet from the edge of pavement of Scottsdale Road to the keypad to the gate. This provides sufficient space for 2-3 vehicles without queuing in front of the sidewalk or street. Given that the development consist of only 8 dwelling units and the expected peak ingress volume of 5 vehicles per hour, the provided storage on site is sufficient for typical ingress operation. The CC\&Rs will limit these 8 lots to one trash hauler and one landscape maintenance company so that there will not be multiple trash trucks/landscape truck with their trailers backed up at the gate.

## Intersection Corner Clearance

Intersection Corner Clearance triangles, often called by other names, is a triangle at intersections that is designated to remain clear of obstructing vegetation and bulky physical objects. The purpose may include providing additional visibility of pedestrians, reserving area for potential signal equipment, and partial sight visibility for approaching vehicles. A corner clearance is not a replacement for measurement of sight distance, discussed in a later section.

Per the Town of Paradise Valley's Municipal Code Section 8-1-13, updated in 2002, a sight triangle should measure 50 feet along each side of the property boundaries. Per Section 8-1-13.F, the triangle is "...eligible for modification by the Town Engineer if one or both of the intersection streets are controlled by stop signs or traffic signals..." The town code does not provide alternative sight triangle dimensions for stop-controlled intersections, and the existing wall is also beneficial to serve as a sound and privacy barrier between individual residencies and Scottsdale Road. This study considers criteria from the City of Phoenix and the City of Scottsdale.

CivTech is aware that Paradise Valley had used City of Phoenix guidelines for corner clearances at driveways. Phoenix corner clearances at driveways is measured similarly at right-of-way boundaries with the major road dimension at 20 feet and the dimension along the driveway at 10 feet.

The City of Scottsdale also has established corner clearance requirements, which would require a triangle measured 25 feet along the right-of-way limits for intersections with arterial roads. Since the driveway intersects Scottsdale Road which is controlled by the City of Scottsdale, it is recommended that corner clearance be provided according to the City of Scottsdale's typical requirements. As the driveway to the site is private and does not provide right-of-way, however, it is recommended that the east-west segment of the corner clearance triangle be designated along the curb return of the driveway which the plat indicates is 25 feet from the centerline of the driveway. Note that Scottsdale's local residential roadway segment provides 24 feet of right-of-way from the centerline. A depiction of the required corner clearance is shown to the right.


## CivTech

## Sight Distance

Adequate sight distance must be provided at intersections. A sight triangle at a stop controlled intersection is the area encompassed by the line of sight from a stopped vehicle on the minor roadway to the approaching vehicle on the major roadway; there must be sufficient unobstructed sight distance along both approaches of a street or driveway intersection and across their included corners to allow operators of vehicles to see each other in time to prevent a collision. There must also be sufficient sight distance along the major street to allow a driver intending to turn left into the site to see a vehicle approaching in the opposite direction.

Per City of Scottsdale guidelines, standard methodology described by the American Association of State Highway Transportation Officials (AASHTO) is used for intersections and driveways. AASHTO presents the vertex of sight triangles along the driveway to be 14.5 feet back from the face-of-curb (Scottsdale rounds to 15 feet). The existing wall is approximately 15 feet back from the face-of-curb, so the sight distance triangles for minor street vehicles would be contained on the street side of the wall if it remains. A depiction of the required sight distance measurements is shown to the below and the lengths along the street per AASHTO methodology are summarized in Table 3.


Table 3 - Projected Turning Movements

| Site Access | To Left from <br> Driveway (ft) | To Right from <br> Driveway (ft) | Ahead on <br> Major Road (ft) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site Access (55 mph design <br> speed on Scottsdale Road) | 680 | 780 | 575 |

It is recommended to designate sight distance triangles according to the distances in Table 3.

## CONCLUSIONS

From the above it can be concluded that;

- Northern Avenue is not planned and is not proposed to be extended from east of the site to Scottsdale Road. A public works station as well as a drainage facility blocks its potential intersection with Scottsdale Road indicating no future plans to construct and intersection at the alignment. The existing driveway to the parcel will provide sufficient access to the site and access to the Northern Avenue alignment is not required or desired.
- The development is expected to generate approximately 76 daily trips during a typical weekday, of which 6 trips are anticipated during the AM peak hour and 8 trips during the PM peak hour.
- The site is expected to generate approximately 3 or fewer vehicle trips in any turning movement in or out of the site during any 1-hour period.
- The $\pm 135$ feet of existing storage for the northbound left turn lane is expected to be sufficient for the future queuing demand of the movement.
- A right turn deceleration lane is not required per the town's criteria but is required by the City of Scottsdale as Scottsdale Road is classified as a major arterial. As the development is expected to generate very few trips during the peak hours, the developer proposes to maintain the existing driveway conditions and not construct a new southbound right turn lane.
- The City of Scottsdale also has established corner clearance requirements, which would require a triangle measured 25 feet along the right-of-way limits for intersections with arterial roads. Since the driveway intersects Scottsdale Road which is controlled by the City of Scottsdale, it is recommended that corner clearance be provided according to the City of Scottsdale's typical requirements.
o As the driveway to the site is private and does not provide right-of-way, however, it is recommended that the east-west segment of the corner clearance triangle be designated along the curb return of the driveway which the plat indicates is 25 feet from the centerline of the driveway. Note that Scottsdale's local residential roadway segment provides 24 feet of right-of-way from the centerline.
- It is recommended to designate sight distance triangles according to the distances in Table 3.

Thank you for your consideration of this assessment. Should you have any comments or questions or wish to discuss this information further, please contact me at (480) 659-4250.
Sincerely,
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Attachments:
Exhibit A: Site Plan
Exhibit B: Roadway Conditions and Driveway Traffic Volumes
Trip Generation Calculations
Sight Distance Analysis


Exhibit A: Site Plan


Exhibit B: Roadway Conditions and Driveway Traffic Volumes

| Proposed Use | Amount Units | ITE LUC | ITE Land Use Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homes | 8 Dwelling Units | 210 | Single-Family Detached Housing |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Notes:
Abbreviations: ITE = Institute of Transportation Engineers, LUC = land use code, SF = square feet, KSF = 1,000 square feet, DU = Dwelling Units, Keys = keyed guest units. ITE methodololgy per the Trip Generation Handbook, 3rd Edition is the basis for deciding which rate/equation to use. Exceptions are highlighted.

|  |  |  |  | (not used) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PM |  |
| Homes | Weighted Average | Weighted Average | Weighted Average |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |



| Base Igress, Egress and Total Trips | ADT |  |  | AM |  |  | PM |  |  | (not used) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed Use | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Homes | 38 | 38 | 76 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 8 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | 38 | 38 | 76 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 8 |  |

Notes:
Per ITE's Trip Generation Handbook, $3^{\text {rd }}$ edition, the rates in the Trip Generation Manual represent base trip generation rates for "low-density, single-use, suburban developments with little or no transit service, limited bicycle access, and little or no convenient pedestrian access" and that the "analyst needs to adjust the baseline vehicle trip generation" if the subject development is an infill site, mixed-use development, transit-friendly development, is located within an urban core area or near a school, and/or other conditions.
The base trips projected for the site are displayed in the table above. The following pages, if any, present appropriate adjustments to the base volumes and/or separate trip types.
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Assumptions and/or Givens
Elements of Design from AASHTO
Driver Eye Height

## Truck

Object Heigh Stopping Sight Distance
Passing Sight Distance
Vehicle Height
Driver Eye Locatio
From Edge of Major Rd Traveled Way
Deceleration Rate (a)
Passenger Vehicle Truck
Brake reaction time ( t )
6th Edition
AASHTO Ref

Specific Data
Major Street Design Speed $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\text {major }}\right)$
Grades - Approaching Minor Street from: ( $-=$ appren $\quad 55 \mathrm{MPH}$ Left ( $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{L}}$ )
Right $\left(G_{R}\right)$
Approach Grade Adjustment Factor
Major Road Through Lanes on Each Approach Median Width (in "Lane Equivalents")
Bicycle Lane Width (in "Lane Equivalents") Minor Road Approach Upgrade, if $>3 \%$
Minor Road Access (check restricted)


Stopping Sight Distance $=$ Brake Reaction Distance + Braking Distance Neglecting Effect of Grade

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d}=1.47 \mathrm{Vt}+1.075 \frac{\mathrm{~V}^{2}}{\mathrm{a}} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { Calculated } \mathrm{d}= \\
\text { Design } \mathrm{d}=
\end{array} \\
& 492.4 \mathrm{ft} \\
& 495 \mathrm{ft}
\end{aligned}
$$

Eq 3-2, p 3-4

With Effect of Grade

$$
\mathrm{d}=1.47 \mathrm{Vt}+\frac{\mathrm{V}^{2}}{30\left(\left(\frac{\mathrm{a}}{32.2}\right) \pm \mathrm{G}\right)}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{rc}
\text { Calculated } \mathrm{d}= & 491.9 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{left} \\
& 495 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{right} \\
\text { Design } \mathrm{d}= & 491.9 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{eft} \\
& 495 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{right}
\end{array}
$$

SSD's do not consider design for truck operations, since better visibility is considered to offset longer braking distance.

## Intersection Sight Distances

## Case B-Intersections with Stop Control on the Minor Road

AASHTO Ref §9.5.3, p 9-36 Case B1-Left Turn from the Minor Road

| Design Vehicle | Time Gap ( $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{g}}$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Passenger Car | 7.5 sec | Tbl 9-5, p 9-37 |
| Single-Unit Tuck | 9.5 sec | Tbl 9-5, p 9-37 |
| Combination Truck | 11.5 sec | Tbl 9-5, p 9-37 |
| Time gap adjustments |  |  |
| Add'l lanes to cross ( $1^{\text {st }}$ is assumed) |  |  |
| Passenger Car | 0.5 sec | See Notes |
| Trucks | 0.7 sec | below |
| Minor Approach Upgrade (Per each 1\%>3\%) | 0.2 sec | Tbl 9-5, p 9-37 |
| Site data |  |  |
| Major Road + Bike Lanes on Left Approach | 3.5 | §9.5.3, p 9-37 |
| Minor Road Approach Upgrade, if >3\% | 0 \% | §9.5.3, p 9-37 |

Time Gap based on site data
Design Vehicle Gap+Adj for Approach Grade>3\%+Adjs for Add'l Lanes \& Median
Passenger Car
Single-Unit Tu
9.4 sec

Combination Truck
12.1 sec
14.1 sec

ISD to left \& right along Major Road $\quad I S D=1.47 \mathrm{~V}_{\text {majorg }} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{g}} \quad$ (fi)
Eq 9-1, p 9-37

|  |  | ISD to Left and Right |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Passenger Car | calculated ISD= | 755.9 ft |
|  | design ISD= | 760 ft |
| Single-Unit Tuck | calculated ISD= | 977.5 ft |
|  | design ISD= | 980 ft |
| Combination Truck | calculated ISD= | 1139.2 ft |
|  | design ISD= | 1140 ft |
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## Intersection Sight Distances (cont'd)

$\frac{\text { Case B2-Right Turn from the Minor Road }}{\&}$
Case B3-Crossing Maneuver from the Minor Road
Design Vehicle
Passenger Car
Single-Unit Tuck
Combination Truck
Combination Truck
AASHTO Ref
$\S 9.5 .3$, p $9-40$
$\S 9.5 .3$, p $9-43$

Time gap adjustments - Case B-3 Only*
Add'I lanes to cross ( $1^{\text {st }}$ is assumed)
Passenger Car
Trucks
Minor Approach Upgrade (Per each 1\%>3\%)
Site data
Major Road + Bike Lanes on Left Approach
Minor Road Approach Upgrade, if $>3 \%$

Time Gap based on site data (sec) $\qquad$ B2 \& B3 B3 Only
Design Vehicle Gap+Adj for Approach Grade>3\%(+Adjs for Add'I Lanes \& Median for B3) Passenger Car
Single-Unit Tuck
Combination Truck

$$
\text { ISD to left }(\mathrm{B} 2 / \mathrm{B} 3) \& \text { right (B3) along Major RdlSD=}=1.47 \mathrm{~V}_{\text {major }} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{g}}
$$

\left.|  |  | ISD to Left ISD to right |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (B2 \& B3) | (B3 Only) |  |$\right)$

Eq 9-1, p 9-37

Number of major road lanes is irrelevant in Case B2.
The differences between Case B1 and Cases B2 \& B3 are reduced time gaps and time gap adjustment for the minor approach upgrade.

Intersection Sight Distances (cont'd)

## Case F-Left Turns from the Major Road

Design Vehicle
Passenger Car
Single-Unit Tuck
Combination Truck

AASHTO Ref §9.5.3, p 9-51
ime Gap (t $\mathrm{t}_{9}$ )
5.5 sec
6.5 sec
7.5 sec
0.5 se
0.7 sec

Site data
Opposing Lanes (adj'd for $x$-wide median)
Time Gap based on site data
Design Vehicle Gap+Adj for Add'I Opposing Lanes Passenger Car Single-Unit Tuck

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 7.1 \mathrm{sec} \\
& 8.7 \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
9.7 \mathrm{sec}
$$

ISD to front along Major Road Passenger Car

| Single-Unit Tuck | calculated ISD <br> design ISD | 706.6 ft |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 710 ft |  |  |

The differences between Case F and Cases B1, B2 \& B3 are reduced time gaps and no time gap adjustment for any minor approach upgrade.

SIGHT DISTANCE SUMMARY

| Sight Distance Type | Governing |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Case | Car | sU Truck | Combo <br> Truck |  |
| Stopping |  |  |  |  |
| Without effect of grade |  | 495 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| With effect of grade on left |  | 495 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| With effect of grade on right |  | 495 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Intersection | B3 | 780 | 1040 | 1200 |
| To Right | B2/B3 | 680 | 900 | 1060 |
| To Left | F | 575 | 710 | 790 |
| On Major Road |  |  |  |  |
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