

## **Small Cell Policy Priorities**

### **February 9, 2017**

In the creation of any legislation, there is a great deal of debate on various policy issues. The proposed small cell bills have multiple policy issues. This list is intended to identify which policies are most important and least important to the Paradise Valley Town Council. Knowing this priority order will help those involved in lobbying determine which things to hold firm on and which things to compromise.

1. ROW Location – The ability to manage where and how much space small cell infrastructure will take.
2. New Poles – The ability to limit the placement of new street light poles in order to facilitate small cell infrastructure.
3. Pole configuration
  - Height – Ability to manage how high (above an existing pole or in total) a small cell tower may be. This might also include the height of the equipment cabinet.
  - Size – The ability to regulate the diameter of the tower, the number of pieces of equipment on the tower, and the square footage of the equipment cabinet.
  - Aesthetics – The ability to decide what is acceptable camouflage and/or related design standards. This would include screening of ground level infrastructure/equipment cabinets.
4. Administration and Permit Process – The ability to control who reviews and how much time is allowed to review an application. Does it become entirely administrative (staff) or partially legislative with the Planning Commission on a conditional use permit (CUP).
  - Master License Agreements – Ability to contract with carriers or third party tower companies to voluntarily agree to all of these policy issues that may be more stringent than state prescribed standards.
  - Indemnification – The ability to require indemnification from carriers with infrastructure located in Town ROW or on Town poles from damage caused by them, vehicles, or other impacts.
  - Scope – Limit this legislation to small cell and distributed antenna systems (DAS) but not include macro antenna infrastructure.
5. Fees – The ability to manage the fee to be paid to the Town for use of its public ROW. This also includes the concern in forcing existing contractually agreed upon fees to be reduced to statutorily determined levels.