

Assisted Living Home Text Amendment (MI-25-03) Comments			
	<u>Date</u>	<u>Comment</u>	<u>Type</u>
1	12/16/2025	Comment that supports Assisted Living Homes at 10 residents but text states these act more like businesses	Email
2	12/2/2025	Two correspondences from Chris Pederson upset with the Planning Commission recommendation	Email
3	12/2/2025	Planning Commission Public Hearing. 13 people spoke at the hearing. Comments related to keeping the regulations the same included that an Assisted Living Home are single-family homes/not a threat to the single-family lifestyle, many Assisted Living Homes replace older/unkept properties, Assisted Living Homes provide a service to residents or family of residents that need this care close to nearby family members, concern that the occupancy change will negatively impact cost, reference to the legality of the text amendment (including the applicability of parking standards and distance requirements with occupancy 6 or less persons), include the reference on the lawful nonconforming status is the whereas or ordinance, statements that the older population within the Town creates demand, and personal accounts on positive experiences regarding property value and parking. Those supporting the text amendment referenced the business nature of an Assisted Living Home that does not align with the vision/values of the Town's General Plan (this includes site conditions like large number of trash bins, enclosure of garages), that the opening of an Assisted Living Home is not a choice for existing residents which may have impacted their decision in purchasing their property or may impact their property values and/or enjoyment of their property, that the occupancy at 6 aligns more with the Town's definition of family of 5 or more unrelated people, that requiring all parking on site is not unlike the requirement for home occupation and the large lot sizes would accommodate this parking, increased traffic more than a traditional single family home as each resident may have separate health care providers and family members that visit the property, and that the text amendment retains the ability for Assisted Living Homes (includes the nonconforming use provisions, reasonable accommodation, and ability to open a new Assisted Living Home with up to 6 residents)	Meeting
4	12/2/2025	Correspondence from Jennifer Wassermann, legal counsel for approximately half of the licensed Assisted Living Homes in Town supporting keeping the 10-bed occupancy and states the occupancy change has an unlawful discriminatory impact	Email
5	12/2/2025	Correspondence from Ida Rhea (resident) supports limit to 6 occupancy	Email
6	12/2/2025	Conversation with Scott Turner with family member in an Assisted Living Home describing the benefits, inquiring on reasons for the text change, and legality	Phone
7	12/1/2025	Correspondence from Dan Dever (resident) describing benefits of Assisted Living Homes	Email
8	12/1/2025	Correspondence from Lou Baransky (resident) supports limit to 6 occupancy	Email
9	12/1/2025	Correspondence from Michele Phillips (resident) supports limit to 6 occupancy	Email

10	11/30/2025	Correspondence from Bob and Jeanne Sterrett (residents) regarding a specific Assisted Living Home regarding specific incidents and supports limiting the occupancy	Email
11	11/28/2025	Correspondence from Kathleen Monheit (resident) supports limit to 6 occupancy	Email
12	11/28/2025	Correspondence from Bryan Brady (resident) supports limit to 6 occupancy	Email
13	11/26/2025	Correspondence from Jean Pederson supporting keeping the 10-bed occupancy with additional information	Email
14	11/26/2025	Correspondence from Marel Brady (resident) supports limit to 6 occupancy	Email
15	11/24/2025	Correspondence from Jean Pederson supporting keeping the 10-bed occupancy and information on State Statute	Email
16	11/20/2025	Correspondence from Lida Cubillas (owner of Assisted Living Homes in Town) supporting keeping the 10-bed occupancy	Email
17	11/19/2025	Independent Newspaper article forwarded to the Planning Commission on behalf of Council Member Pace	Email
18	11/18/2025	Citizen Review Work Session at Planning Commission Meeting. There were 11 people that spoke (with several others in attendance that did not speak). These people included Town residents, family members of people in these homes, owners of Town Assisted Living Homes, and other Assisted Living Home representatives. Comments brought up included wanting to understand reasons for making these amendments, stories from family members on the benefits these homes have for the family members living in these homes, statements that the percentage of older age groups in the Town creates demand for these homes, concerns that reducing the occupancy will negatively impact cost to the resident and/or the staffing and financial feasibility of these homes, clarification on the status of existing homes to operate under existing regulations, anecdotal accounts regarding that noise and parking are not impactful (particularly compared to other uses like an Airbnb, Short Term Rental or resort or construction activity), statements that smaller group homes provide various benefits compared to larger occupancy facilities (items mentioned included more tailored social activities, ease in managing safety, illness, and other factors), and concerns that the amendment will effectively cap the number of these homes. For more information refer to the minutes and/or video of the meeting (available on the Town website. The Planning Commission also asked for more information regarding parking and noise at these group homes.	Meeting
19	11/18/2025	Email from a family member (Linda Heaivilin) with a current resident living in a home to support retaining the 10-resident occupancy.	Email
20	11/17/2025	Phone call from Jean Pederson who owns several Assisted Living Homes (including in Town). She had several of the same questions and comments noted.	Phone

21	11/6/2025	<p>Phone call from the owner of the home located at 4810 E Hummingbird Lane within the Town (Brett Weisel). He had questions on the upcoming meeting dates, impact to existing Assisted Living Homes if these homes can remain in operation with 10 residents (which text amendments when adopted allows for existing uses to remain and preliminary discussion by Council at their September 11th study session acknowledged an allowance for the existing operation), concern that a limit of 6 residents make operating an Assisted Living Home economically unfeasible, deadline for when the new Assisted Living Home regulations would take effect (which is 30 days after the adoption of an ordinance), and what action would be needed to secure compliance to the existing regulations before the new regulations go into effect (which would be an active business license or building permit for an Assisted Living Home).</p>	Phone
----	-----------	--	-------

Paul Michaud

Subject: FW: Jan 8 study session on assisted living houses

From: Jay Ross [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, December 16, 2025 9:56 AM
To: Duncan Miller <dmiller@paradisevalleyaz.gov>
Subject: Jan 8 study session on assisted living houses

External email: use caution with links & attachments

Hi Duncan,

Mayor Mark said a study session will be in Jan

Can you forward these comments to the councilmembers?

I support assisted living at 10 persons per house

In regular neighborhoods is not the best location. They are businesses, not residences, and they are different from apartments, which are mainly people living there (and some maids, maintenance workers). These are businesses that have daily, regular traffic — visitors, staff, nurses, delivery trucks, ambulances (late at night), and that noise and activity harms residents who want peace and quiet. Also, tons of parking is needed for all these people who come there every day but don't live there. Our neighborhoods are already overrun by noise and traffic from 10 trash trucks every week, 6-8 hours of loud leaf blowers, and tons of pool cleaners, dry cleaners and other delivery trucks.

My idea is to re-zone some land along Lincoln, Tatum and other long streets as a "business/mixed-use/multifamily" zone, to allow businesses like assisted living to operate... instead of placing them in our neighborhood.

There is a difference between old people living at home in groups, and an assisted living business operation. If the state allows this as an alternative, plz pursue it.

Paul Michaud

Subject:

FW: Additional E-mail

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Chris Pederson** [REDACTED]

Date: Tue, Dec 2, 2025, 8:47 PM

Subject: Bad Choice

To: <pgeorgelos@paradisevalleyaz.gov>

Really ... your totally biased commentary was embarrassing during the city council meeting. Clearly you hate empathy for those less capable. So sad to see you take it out on the older population in your community because you think you don't want it next to.

Chris

Paul Michaud

Subject: FW: E-mails after Assisted Living Hearing

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Chris Pederson** [REDACTED]
Date: Tue, Dec 2, 2025, 8:36 PM
Subject: Bias
To: <pgeorgelos@paradisevalleyaz.gov>

Wow ... I was shocked by your biased moderation of the Paradise Valley Council meeting. Your commentary and moderation of the comments were so obviously one sided toward approving the restriction on assisted living occupancy.

You and the rest of the council have no idea about the harm you are creating to your community.

Cherise Fullbright

From: Jennifer Wassermann [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, December 2, 2025 5:51 PM
To: Chad Weaver; Planning Commission Chair Pamela Georgelos
Cc: Deborah Robberson; Cherise Fullbright; Danielle LaMarsh; efile dockets
Subject: Time-sensitive: Position statement against the proposed amendment to Article XVII (88260-002)
Attachments: PV Community Development Dept position statement.pdf
Importance: High

External email: use caution with links & attachments

Good evening: In light of my inability to be present at tonight's public session in person due to illness, I am submitting the attached position statement on behalf of several assisted living homes operating in Paradise Valley who have requested our firm's assistance in explaining how the proposed amendment would have a potentially unlawful discriminatory housing impact on disabled individuals.

My clients generally are not opposed to rewording the Article 1701 to require that all parking incidental to the use of the assisted living home be onsite; nor the technical change in title to Community Development Director. However, a 40% reduction in the already-limited residential assisted living bed capacity that is currently licensed in the Town, and already further limited by the 1,320' buffer zone, does have an unlawful discriminatory impact, particularly where there is no documented need for such a change, and one of the basis cited in the study meeting for the reduction pointed to a chapter of Arizona Laws applicable only to residential homes for the developmentally disabled. A.R.S. Sec. 36-582 is limited to that specific (developmental disabilities) chapter; not Title 36, Chapter 4, which applies to assisted living licensing.

I am available by phone or email if you have additional questions.

Thank you in advance for your consideration,

Jennifer Wassermann

Partner
[REDACTED]

DAVIS|MILES

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

999 E. Playa del Norte Drive
Suite 510
Tempe, AZ 85288
[REDACTED]

To make a secure online payment: [CLICK HERE](#)

NOTE: This e-mail is from a law firm, **Davis Miles, PLLC**, and is intended solely for the use of the individual(s) to whom it is addressed. If you believe you received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately, delete the e-mail from your computer, and do not copy or disclose it to anyone else. If you are not an existing client of **Davis Miles, PLLC**, do not construe anything in this e-mail to make you a client unless it contains a specific statement to that effect, and do not disclose anything to **Davis Miles, PLLC** in reply that you expect it to hold in confidence. If you properly received this e-mail as a client, co-counsel, or retained expert of **Davis Miles, PLLC**, you should maintain its contents in confidence in order to preserve the attorney-client or work product privilege that may be available to protect confidentiality.

Paul Michaud

From: noreply@granicusideas.com
Sent: Tuesday, December 2, 2025 5:15 PM
To: Duncan Miller; Paul Michaud; George Burton; Cherise Fullbright
Subject: New eComment for Planning Commission on 2025-12-02 6:00 PM

External email: use caution with links & attachments

Paradise Valley, AZ

New eComment for Planning Commission on 2025-12-02 6:00 PM

Jennifer Wassermann submitted a new eComment.

Meeting: Planning Commission on 2025-12-02 6:00 PM

Item: A. 25-267 Discussion and Possible Action on Proposed amendments to Article XVII,
Assisted Living Home, of the Zoning Ordinance

eComment: Due to an acute upper respiratory infection, I am unable to attend in person this evening, and may not be able to find a stand-in on short notice, but am separately submitting a letter for inclusion with the record as legal counsel for approximately half of the licensed assisted living homes licensed within Paradise Valley to explain why Article VII should not be amended to reduce the occupancy number as proposed.

[View and Analyze eComments](#)

This email was sent from <https://paradisevalleyaz.granicusideas.com>.

[Unsubscribe](#) from future mailings

From: [Pamela Georgelos](#)
To: [Chad Weaver](#); [Paul Michaud](#); [Cherise Fullbright](#); [Planning Commission Chair Pamela Georgelos](#)
Subject: Fwd: Proposed Amendments to Article XVII - Assisted Living Homes
Date: Tuesday, December 2, 2025 3:04:31 PM

External
email: use
caution
with links
&
attachments

Forwarding...

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Ida Rhea [REDACTED]
Date: Tue, Dec 2, 2025, 2:55 PM
Subject: Re: Proposed Amendments to Article XVII - Assisted Living Homes
To: ViceMayor Ellen Andeen <eandeen@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, Bob Brown <rbrown@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, Charles Covington <ccovington@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, Timothy Dickman <tdickman@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, Pamela Georgelos <pgeorgelos@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, Craig Curtis <ccurtis@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, William Nassikas <wnassikas@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, James Rose <jrose@paradisevalleyaz.gov>
Cc: Council Member Anna Thomasson <athomasson@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, Karen Liepmann <kliedmann@cox.net>

Dear Members of the Paradise Valley Town Council:

It is concerning to me that in a town of 12,000 residents, many of whom are part-time, have to deal with the number of assisted living facilities and the traffic, parking, emergency responses, and property maintenance that goes along with these businesses.

My suggestion would be to consider 6 or less occupants per home so as to limit some of my earlier concerns. Are there restrictions with occupancy for safety purposes? It's important as a resident to be advised as to the application process a business would pursue, so that neighbors are aware of the changes occurring on their street.

I respectfully request that the town review the current zoning policies and their long-term impact on the surrounding community.

Regards,

Ida Rhea

From: [Dan Dever](#)
To: [Paul Michaud](#)
Subject: Dec 2nd Town Hall Hearing
Date: Monday, December 1, 2025 11:07:00 PM

External
email: use
caution
with links
&
attachments

Dear Mr Michaud,

I am writing to you regarding the proposed measures aimed at limiting the number of occupants in the town's assisted-living homes.

My father William is a resident of Camelback Residential Assisted Living, an assisted living home here in Paradise Valley. It is hard to overstate the life-changing benefits that he has experienced in being able to live in an environment that more closely resembles a real home. Without question, this home has been a beacon of hope that has allowed him and his fellow residents to live out the final years of their lives with the dignity and grace that they deserve. His experience at the home, along with that of the other residents, demonstrates the vital role that these homes play in our community.

I am a Paradise Valley resident myself, and there is actually another assisted living home on my street. As such, I have witnessed first-hand what these types of home environments have meant to those elderly residents who are some of the most vulnerable in our community, as well as to their families.

It was, in fact, my knowledge of the home on our street that helped inspire our family to find a similar home nearby that could provide a level of comfort and care to my father that is often impossible to achieve in a larger institutional-type setting.

As the town council weighs its decision, please consider the powerful and meaningful impact that these homes have on the lives of the elderly members of our community who reside there, as well as the profound consequences that the proposed limitations would place on them and their families.

Most Sincerely,
Dan Dever

From: [Lou Baransky](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [Planning Commissioner Jim Rose](#); [Planning Commissioner William Nassikas](#); [Planning Commissioner Craig Curtis](#); [Planning Commission Chair Pamela Georgelos](#); [Planning Commissioner Tim Dickman](#); [%20ccovington@paradisevalleyaz.gov](#); [Planning Commissioner Robert Brown](#); [Vice Mayor Ellen Andeen](#); [Paul Michaud](#); [Councilmember Anna Thomasson](#); [Councilmember Scott Moore](#)
Subject: Re: Adult Family Homes - Assisted Living Family Homes
Date: Monday, December 1, 2025 9:06:58 PM

External
email: use
caution
with links
&
attachments

To interested parties:

Everyone I have talked to in Paradise Valley is in favor of limiting group homes to 6 occupants. I realize the more occupants in a group home the potential for the owner to generate a greater amount of profitability. The Mayor and Town Council can side with business owners or the majority of residents in our town. If there is any doubt on honoring the wishes of the majority, place this matter before residents and have them vote in it.

Let's support what is best for P.V.

Lou

Lou Baransky

(928) 518-3535
[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 1, 2025, at 8:21 PM, mphillips@mphmarketingllc.com

[REDACTED] wrote:

Dear Committee,

Thank you for the recent review and suggestion of shifting the occupancy of Adult/Assisted Living Homes from 10 to 6 occupants. As someone who believes in this format of living for elderly and those needing assistance, I have been heavily weighting the 10 vs 6 occupants idea.

It's my understanding that we have 16 homes currently (which can hold a total of 160 residents), which is quite a few for a town of roughly 12,000 residents. There are those who live by them that have concerns about the on-going traffic, parking, and activity with guests, family, and employees coming and going around

the clock. I can understand that would be of concern. I know there is a limit to the square footage of a home that can be designed for use for a business and am wondering if that applies to these homes. That seems it would limit the number of residents the footprint could accommodate.

It seems with the concerns that holding any new properties to a limit of 6 would ensure a more neighbor friendly environment for existing neighbors. I do understand that may make our town cost prohibitive, but it's not our role to make our town cost efficient for businesses. Please consider limiting the occupants from 10 to 6 for neighbor enjoyment to those who came before any future home sites.

Thank you,
Michele Phillips-Baransky

Michele Phillips

CEO/Brand Strategist

MPh Marketing



From: [REDACTED]
To: [Planning Commissioner Jim Rose](#); [Planning Commissioner William Nassikas](#); [Planning Commissioner Craig Curtis](#); [Planning Commission Chair Pamela Georgelos](#); [Planning Commissioner Tim Dickman](#); %20ccovington@paradisevalleyaz.gov; [Planning Commissioner Robert Brown](#)
Cc: [Vice Mayor Ellen Andeen](#); [Paul Michaud](#); [Councilmember Anna Thomasson](#); [Councilmember Scott Moore](#)
Subject: Adult Family Homes - Assisted Living Family Homes
Date: Monday, December 1, 2025 8:21:17 PM

External
email: use
caution
with links
&
attachments

Dear Committee,

Thank you for the recent review and suggestion of shifting the occupancy of Adult/Assisted Living Homes from 10 to 6 occupants. As someone who believes in this format of living for elderly and those needing assistance, I have been heavily weighting the 10 vs 6 occupants idea.


It's my understanding that we have 16 homes currently (which can hold a total of 160 residents), which is quite a few for a town of roughly 12,000 residents. There are those who live by them that have concerns about the on-going traffic, parking, and activity with guests, family, and employees coming and going around the clock. I can understand that would be of concern. I know there is a limit to the square footage of a home that can be designed for use for a business and am wondering if that applies to these homes. That seems it would limit the number of residents the footprint could accommodate.

It seems with the concerns that holding any new properties to a limit of 6 would ensure a more neighbor friendly environment for existing neighbors. I do understand that may make our town cost prohibitive, but it's not our role to make our town cost efficient for businesses. Please consider limiting the occupants from 10 to 6 for neighbor enjoyment to those who came before any future home sites.

Thank you,
Michele Phillips-Baransky

Michele Phillips

CEO/Brand Strategist
MPh Marketing



From: [Pamela Georgelos](#)
To: [Chad Weaver](#); [Paul Michaud](#); [Cherise Fullbright](#)
Subject: Fwd: Proposed Amendments to Article XVII - Assisted Living Homes
Date: Sunday, November 30, 2025 4:17:47 PM

External
email: use
caution
with links
&
attachments

Forwarding re above matter.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jeanne Sterrett** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Sun, Nov 30, 2025, 3:11 PM
Subject: Proposed Amendments to Article XVII - Assisted Living Homes
To: ViceMayor Ellen Andeen <eardeen@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, Bob Brown <rbrown@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, Charles Covington <ccovington@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, Timothy Dickman <tdickman@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, Pamela Georgelos <pgeorgelos@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, Craig Curtis <ccurtis@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, William Nassikas <wnassikas@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, James Rose <jrose@paradisevalleyaz.gov>
Cc: Council Member Anna Thomasson <athomasson@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, Karen Liepmann <kliemann@cox.net>

We would like to comment on the proposed number of residents allowed in the Paradise Valley Group Homes or Assisted Living Homes.
You are considering whether the number of residents should be limited to 6 or 11.
We would like to provide you with some important information regarding this decision.

We have lived in Town of PV since 1977 and have experienced MANY changes; some good; some NOT so good. Around the year of 2017 or 2018, this address 8635 N (or East) [Via La Serena, Paradise Valley, AZ 85253](#) corresponds to an assisted living care home operating under the name "Simply Together Assisted Living" or "Simply Together ALH LLC." Public listing and licensing information describe it as a small residential assisted living facility (roughly 10 beds) providing 24/7 care and licensed in Arizona as an assisted living home.
The home was remodeled into a business.

What is publicly listed about this address

Multiple senior-care directories and business listings show "Simply Together Assisted Living" or "Simply Together ALH LLC" at [8635 N Via La Serena, Paradise Valley, AZ 85253](#), with state assisted-living license numbers such as AL11044H or AL11237H.

Real-estate and facility descriptions characterize the property as a 10-bed residential care/assisted living home on about an acre in Paradise Valley, marketed specifically as an assisted-living care home rather than a typical single-family residence.

What we noticed about this property

Shortly after the remodel, **a whole shipping container** appeared outside the exterior wall of this home. The **"shipping container"** is not visible from the street (Via La Serena) but is visible to our neighbors and to us from our street, Avenida Del Sol. This container must hold all supplies needed to operate the Assisted Living business as the garage and other storage areas have been expanded to facilitate residents living in this home. Eventually **an air conditioner** was placed on the shipping container which is also visible to those of us who live behind this business. We have contacted many Council Members as : Anna Thomasson, Karen Liepmann, Mary Hamay and even Jerry Bien-Willner. The conclusion is that this business does NOT break any codes of the Town, yet we as residents of the Town, are left looking at, yes, a huge shipping container that belongs in Rotterdam Harbor!

Incident at this property

In the year 2020, there was an incident at this Assisted Care Facility. Below is what was written in the Town of Paradise Valley's Independent Newspaper.

Elderly Woman Found After Wandering from Group Home

An 84-year-old woman, ****Laleah "Jo" Ebentier****, who wandered away from her group home near ****[8535 E Via La Serena](#)**** in Paradise Valley, was tragically found deceased on April 16, 2020.

Incident Details

- ****Disappearance Date:**** She was reported missing on April 8, 2020-
- ****Health Condition:**** Ebentier suffered from ****dementia**** and had ****impaired mobility****.
- ****Searching Efforts:**** Efforts included aerial searches and K-9 units, but initial searches yielded no results.
- ****Last Seen:**** She left around 10 a.m., last wearing specific clothing that included gold glasses, a green long-sleeved shirt, and dark blue Crocs.

This case highlights the importance of monitoring vulnerable individuals and ensuring their safety, especially in group home settings. If you have any specific questions about this incident or need further information, feel free to

ask!

Summary

This case is just an example of what can happen within our Town when we have Assisted Living Care Homes. The resources that are used for these businesses are extensive. The police, fire, and safety services are extremely imperative and necessary.

When these businesses are embedded within our residential neighborhoods, it increases the daily average road usage as well as changes the social nature of our neighborhoods. Instead of residential neighbors, we now have neighboring commercial businesses.

The placement of full-sized shipping containers into our neighborhoods dramatically alters our views and creates the feeling of living in a coastal shipping harbor rather than our beloved Town of Paradise Valley.

This specific incident really disrupted the serenity of our living environment for approximately 8 days. We had helicopters flying overhead; K-9 units and police searching our area; nursing attendants walking our property; people knocking on our doors to hand us brochures on this missing person and an atmosphere of panic. All of this was really necessary as someone's loved one was missing....that we understand. However, given that the number of residents in these homes is now up for discussion, the commission needs to understand the importance of this decision. The more people that are cared for in this environment of our Town, **the HIGHER the risk for tragic incidents as described in this email. Our residents expect quiet and peaceful environments which have made our town so unique, so please consider your decision and what burden you place on our community by increasing the number to 11 residents. Please.....limit the number of residents to only six!**

Thank you for volunteering your time,

Bob and Jeanne Sterrett

[REDACTED]

[Paradise Valley, AZ 85253](#)

From: [Pamela Georgelos](#)
To: [Chad Weaver](#); [Paul Michaud](#); [Cherise Fullbright](#)
Subject: Fwd: Proposed Amendments to Article XVII - Assisted Living Homes
Date: Friday, November 28, 2025 2:46:15 PM

External
email: use
caution
with links
&
attachments

Additional Comments

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Kathleen Monheit** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Fri, Nov 28, 2025, 2:17 PM
Subject: Proposed Amendments to Article XVII - Assisted Living Homes
To: ViceMayor Ellen Andeen <eandeen@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, Bob Brown
<RBrown@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, Charles Covington <CCovington@paradisevalleyaz.gov>,
Timothy Dickman <TDickman@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, Pamela Georgelos
<PGeorgelos@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, Craig Curtis <CCurtis@paradisevalleyaz.gov>,
William Nassikas <WNassikas@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, James Rose
<JRose@paradisevalleyaz.gov>

It has come to my attention that the Planning Commission is reviewing the number of residents allowed in senior group housing. As I understand it, the current number of residents allowed is 10 but that it may be reduced to 6. The more residents the more traffic is incurred in the neighborhood.

This is a fairly important issue, especially for any family living in the immediate area, and more of an effort should be made to alert the Paradise Valley residents for a discussion before any ruling is passed.

Kathleen Monheit

Sent from my iPad

From: [Chad Weaver](#)
To: [Pamela Georgelos](#); [Paul Michaud](#); [Cherise Fullbright](#)
Cc: [Planning Commission Chair Pamela Georgelos](#)
Subject: Re: Assisted Living Homes
Date: Sunday, November 30, 2025 12:38:44 PM
Attachments: [1000003087-id-b2370a25-75a6-4c00-beff-2a99114efe9b.png](#)

Thank you for forwarding these comments.



Chad Weaver
Community Development Director
Town of Paradise Valley
cweaver@paradisevalleyaz.gov
480-348-3522

From: Pamela Georgelos <pgeorgelos@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 28, 2025 6:04:11 PM
To: Chad Weaver <cweaver@paradisevalleyaz.gov>; Paul Michaud <pmichaud@paradisevalleyaz.gov>; Cherise Fullbright <cfullbright@paradisevalleyaz.gov>
Cc: Planning Commission Chair Pamela Georgelos <pgeorgelos@paradisevalleyaz.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Assisted Living Homes

External
email: use
caution
with links
&
attachments

FYI...

----- Forwarded message -----

From: bryan [REDACTED]
Date: Fri, Nov 28, 2025, 5:38 PM
Subject: Assisted Living Homes
To: Vice Mayor Ellen Andeen <eandeen@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, pmichaud@paradisevalleyaz.gov <pmichaud@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, PGeorgelos@paradisevalleyaz.gov <pgeorgelos@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, TDickman@paradisevalleyaz.gov <tdickman@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, CCovington@paradisevalleyaz.gov <ccovington@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, RBrown@paradisevalleyaz.gov <rbrown@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, CCurtis@paradisevalleyaz.gov <ccurtis@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, <WNassikas@paradisevalleyaz.gov>
Cc: <JRose@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, <MStanton@paradisevalleyaz.gov>

Good evening,

At your most recent planning commission meeting, there was discussion around the number of occupants in assisted living group homes in the Town. The question seems to be whether that number should be restricted to 10 or 6 occupants. Please represent me by supporting setting the limit at 6 occupants, to minimize the disruption of all kinds, within the Town.

Respectfully yours,

Bryan Brady

From: [Jean Pederson](#)
To: [Mayor Mark Stanton](#); [Vice Mayor Ellen Andeen](#); [Councilmember Christine Labelle](#); [Councilmember Karen Liepmann](#); [Councilmember Scott Moore](#); [Councilmember Julie Pace](#); [Councilmember Anna Thomasson](#); [Andrew Ching](#); [Chad Weaver](#)
Cc: [Paul Michaud](#); [Planning Commissioner Charles Covington](#); [Planning Commissioner Craig Curtis](#); [Planning Commission Chair Pamela Georgelos](#); [Planning Commissioner Robert Brown](#); [Planning Commissioner Tim Dickman](#); [Planning Commissioner William Nassikas](#)
Subject: Please Vote NO on Reducing Assisted Living Home Occupancy — Protect Paradise Valley Seniors
Date: Wednesday, November 26, 2025 11:28:26 AM
Importance: High

External
email: use
caution
with links
&
attachments

Dear Mayor, Vice Mayor and Council Members,

I want to begin by acknowledging each of you for your exceptional professional backgrounds and years of service to the Town of Paradise Valley. Your résumés and accomplishments reflect a deep commitment to solving complex issues, enhancing our community, and upholding the character of our Town.

However, the proposal to reduce occupancy for Assisted Living Homes (ALHs) from 10 residents to 6 directs focus in the wrong direction and places unintended harm on our most vulnerable Paradise Valley seniors.

We Are Not Group Homes — We Are Licensed Assisted Living Homes Assisted Living Homes are not governed under the Group Home statutory category.

Under A.R.S. 36-401, ALHs are a separate, licensed category with clear standards—including licensure capacity up to 10 residents.

All 17 licensed 10-resident Assisted Living Homes in Paradise Valley meet every requirement in Article XVII of the Town's Assisted Living Home Zoning Ordinance, including spacing, operational standards, and neighborhood compatibility.

These homes have faithfully served the Paradise Valley community since 2006, providing consistent, high-quality care safely and respectfully for nearly two decades.

Who We Serve — Your Residents, Your Neighbors, Your Families Our ALHs serve:

- Seniors
- Frail adults
- Memory-care residents

These are Paradise Valley residents—the individuals who built this Town, supported its

institutions, raised families here, and contributed to generations of community identity.

These are our Town's grandmothers, grandfathers, mothers, fathers, husbands, and wives.

The Aging Population Is Growing — Substantially Population data clearly shows a rapidly increasing need for senior care:

- United States: 18% aged 65+ in 2025 → ~23% by 2050
- Arizona: ~[19.3](#)% aged 65+ now → ~25% by 2055 •
- Paradise Valley: Already approx. 23% aged 65+ → projected 32% by 2055 Paradise Valley is aging faster than both the state and national averages.

Our Existing 10-Bed ALHs Serve 170 Vulnerable Seniors The 17 licensed ALHs collectively serve 170 seniors who are no longer able to remain at home or who cannot function safely in a large facility. Reducing occupancy to 6 creates:

- A 40% loss of available senior care
- Displacement of current residents
- Higher financial burdens, since individuals needing 24/7 care cannot simply “scale up” to home care or larger communities without paying tens of thousands more annually.

There is no realistic alternative to fill this gap.

Who Will Meet These Needs If We Don't?

Your decisions today determine whether seniors in Paradise Valley can continue to live safely, affordably, and with dignity in their community.

Quality of life, safety, enrichment, and compassion for our aging residents should never be in question.

This is a responsibility our Town should be embracing, not restricting.

A Vote to Reduce ALH Occupancy Sends a Message Reducing occupancy from 10 to 6 says:

- We do not support our elderly
- We do not support affordable, safe residential options for seniors
- We are willing to limit essential care in a Town that is aging rapidly

This would be the wrong message—and the wrong decision.

Please Vote NO on Reducing Assisted Living Home Occupancy Maintaining occupancy at 10 is:

- The right decision
- The compassionate decision

- The data-supported decision
- The decision that protects Paradise Valley residents in their most vulnerable years

Your leadership and wisdom on this matter will shape the dignity and safety of hundreds of current and future Paradise Valley seniors.

Thank you for your service, your attention to these concerns, and for supporting the residents who depend on you.

Sincerely,

Jean Pederson

Serving the senior community since 2015

|

From: [Pamela Georgelos](#)
To: [Cherise Fullbright](#); [Paul Michaud](#); [Chad Weaver](#); [Planning Commission Chair Pamela Georgelos](#)
Subject: Fwd: Group Homes
Date: Wednesday, November 26, 2025 12:05:57 PM

External
email: use
caution
with links
&
attachments

Hi,

I am forwarding the correspondence, below.

Happy Thanksgiving!

Pamela

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **marel brady** <marel_brady@yahoo.com>
Date: Wed, Nov 26, 2025 at 11:58 AM
Subject: Group Homes
To: Vice Mayor Ellen Andeen <eandeen@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, pmichaud@paradisevalleyaz.gov <pmichaud@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, PGeorgelos@paradisevalleyaz.gov <pgeorgelos@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, TDickman@paradisevalleyaz.gov <tdickman@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, CCovington@paradisevalleyaz.gov <ccovington@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, RBrown@paradisevalleyaz.gov <rbrown@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, CCurtis@paradisevalleyaz.gov <ccurtis@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, WNassikas@paradisevalleyaz.gov <wnassikas@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, JRose@paradisevalleyaz.gov <jrose@paradisevalleyaz.gov>

Attention:

Planning Commission Chair
Planning Commissioners
Planning Manager
Vice Mayor

Good morning,

Much to my surprise, when watching the video of the most recent planning commission meeting, I discovered a "citizen review" in process. Unfortunately, residents of the Town were not aware of this opportunity... so the "feedback" from the floor was, predominately, from operators in the industry... rather than residents whose aim is the best interests of our

Town.

Already, we have (probably) 16 of these establishments, in our Town... some of which are under construction.

My concerns are many, in relation to this "industry" which (incidentally) charges \$11,000 per month to 10 residents... giving the operator an income of more than \$1.3 million dollars per annum. This is big business in a small Town!

The purpose of my message is to urge you, in your dual role as planning commissioners and residents who (truly) care about our Town, to limit the number of inmates in these establishments to six clients.

As you consider my initial concerns (there are many more) I trust that future opportunities for "citizen review" can be well advertised... perhaps in the Town Manager newsletter.

Respectfully yours,

Marel Brady

From: [Pamela Georgelos](#)
To: [Paul Michaud](#); [Andrew McGuire](#)
Cc: [Planning Commission Chair Pamela Georgelos](#)
Subject: Fwd: hank You for Supporting 10-Bed Assisted Living Homes - under A.R.S. 36-401 ADHS licensing rules
Date: Monday, November 24, 2025 5:52:32 PM

External
email: use
caution
with links
&
attachments

Hi Andrew and Paul,

Paul, attached is correspondence sent to the commissioner.

We are going to need some legal advice before our hearing on Dec 2nd.

Andrew, please plan to attend.

Please call me with any questions (602) 318-7927.

Thank you,

Pamela

Pamela Georgelos

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jean Pederson** <cjpederson4@centurylink.net>

Date: Mon, Nov 24, 2025, 9:59 AM

Subject: hank You for Supporting 10-Bed Assisted Living Homes - under A.R.S. 36-401 ADHS licensing rules

To: <rbrown@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, <ccovington@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, <tdickman@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, <ccurtis@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, <pgeorgelos@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, <wnassikas@paradisevalleyaz.gov>, <jrose@paradisevalleyaz.gov>

Cc: <[REDACTED]>

Dear Planning Commission Members,

I want to personally thank you for your thoughtful review and strong support of maintaining the 10-bed occupancy for licensed Assisted Living Homes in Paradise Valley.

Your careful consideration and commitment to the needs of disabled adults, memory-care residents, and vulnerable seniors demonstrates true leadership and advocacy for those who cannot speak for themselves.

Your recommendation to the Town Council is critical in ensuring that Paradise Valley continues to provide safe, feasible, and fair housing for our most vulnerable residents.

We are grateful for your dedication, your attention to due process, and your willingness to stand up for the residents who rely on these homes.

Your support gives families hope and stability and ensures the community remains inclusive and compassionate. Thank you again for your service and leadership.

Warm regards,

Jean Pederson



PS:

Commission Dickman we appreciate your reference to the September 11, 2025 Town Council Minutes which after the Citizens Hearing on 11/18/25 we went back to reference to figure out who our Assisted Living 10 bed homes under Article XVII has been targeted.

A few comments to point out:

1) September Minutes address "Group Homes" which are residential settings for persons with developmental disabilities or other protected classes, providing room, board and habitation services. Please reference A.R.S 36-551 & 36-582 for Statutory Reference. The occupancy is up to 6 residents (excludes staff) to persons <8 per A R S 36-582 for zoning purposes, The zoning is treated as a single family residence if <-6 residents, local zoning cannot pose stricter rules. The Licensing Authority is AZ DES/Division of Developmental Disabilities.

2) We received a mailer in our mailboxes in late October for Article XVII Assisted Living Homes occupancy consideration for a reduction from 10 to 6. Assisted Living Homes follow Statutory rule A R S 36-401. Occupancy is up to 10 residents for "home" classification: 11+ residents is an Assisted Living Center. Your Article XVII Assisted Living Home zoning ordinance for occupancy follow statutory rules. Assisted Living Homes follow Arizona Dept of Health Services. These ALH's are home like settings to serve the seniors, frail adults and memory care. residents or those needing supportive supervision. There are current restrictions as laid out in Article XVII that we follow.

3). Based on the Minutes there was a 3rd party secured by Chad Weaver. In the Minutes 25-202 pointed out potential code amendments regarding Large Vehicle Parking, "Group Homes" and Noise.

- as it was pointed out during the 11/18/25 meeting Parking and Noise are not issues with our Assisted Living Homes

- the comment by Mr Weaver on the occupancy is inaccurate. Group Homes a separate class has occupancy of 6 not Assisted Living Homes of we are 10. This information seems

- 1) Misleading as there is no current code nor "New" code to reduce ALH's occupancy from 10 to 6

2) Someone did not follow Due Process who likely would have caught this and would not have caused so much concern and issue that has placed us in a position to advocate for our elder vulnerable adults in Paradise Valley.

From: [Lida Cubillos](#)
To: [Mayor Mark Stanton](#); [Vice Mayor Ellen Andeen](#); CLabelle@paradisevaellaz.gov; [Councilmember Karen Liepmann](#); [Councilmember Scott Moore](#); [Councilmember Julie Pace](#); [Councilmember Anna Thomasson](#); [Paul Michaud](#)
Subject: Opposition to Proposed Regulation Reducing Assisted Living Home Capacity
Date: Thursday, November 20, 2025 1:47:35 PM

External
email: use
caution
with links
&
attachments

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I hope this message finds you well. As a resident and an Assisted Living Home owner I am writing to respectfully express my opposition to the proposal to reduce the assisted living home (ALH) capacity threshold in Paradise Valley from 10 beds to 6 beds and under.

This change would have significant negative consequences not only for assisted living providers, but also for the residents and families who rely on these essential services. Small assisted living homes are an integral part of Arizona's senior-care system. They provide high-quality, personalized care in residential settings without creating noise, traffic, or disruption to neighborhoods.

At the recent public meeting, owners, families, and community members made it clear that these homes are beneficial to local residents and do not pose any harm to the town. Reducing the capacity threshold would limit access to care, increase costs for families, and set a precedent that could impact cities across the state.

Most importantly, assisted living homes allow seniors to age safely in community-based environments that feel like home—something large facilities often cannot offer. Restricting their capacity would only reduce options for the very people we aim to support.

I respectfully ask the Town Council to reconsider advancing this proposal and to keep the current 10-bed threshold in place. Doing so would preserve access to care, support local families, and maintain the high quality of life that Paradise Valley is known for.

Thank you for your time, consideration, and continued commitment to the well-being of our senior community.

Sincerely,

" Providing the best care to the elderly since 2000 "

<https://sunrisecarehomes.com/>

Lida Cubillos, Founder. MBA, GB. PA

Sunrise Care Homes Inc.

Best Care Investments, LLC



This electronic transmission contains confidential information including some or all of which may be protected health information as defined by the federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) Privacy Rule. This transmission is intended for the exclusive use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is proprietary, privileged, confidential and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient (or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this email transmission to the intended recipient), you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, distribution or copying of this information is strictly prohibited and may be subject to legal restriction or sanction. Please notify the sender by telephone (number listed above) to arrange the return or destruction of the information and all copies.

Daily Independent Article



Cherise Fullbright

To

Cc Paul Michaud; Cherise Fullbright



Residents rally against unregulated Paradise Valley sober-living facility - Daily Independent.pdf
2 MB

Good morning, Chair, Commissioners, and staff (Bcc),

Council Member Pace has asked that the attached article from the Daily Independent concerning living facilities be distributed to the Commission. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,



Cherise Fullbright

Lead Management Specialist

Community Development Department

6401 E. Lincoln Drive, Paradise Valley, AZ 85253

Office: 480-348-3539

cfullbright@paradisvalleyaz.gov

MY ACCOUNT LOG OUT ADVERTISE CLASSIFIEDS DONATE



FREE NEWSLETTERS E-NEWSPAPERS SUBMISSIONS SUBSCRIBE

PHOENIX, AZ
MOSTLY CLOUDY, 66°
FULL FORECAST

INDEPENDENT

Search ...
ADVANCED SEARCH

DAILY INDEPENDENT APACHE JUNCTION CAVE CREEK / CAREFREE CHANDLER FOUNTAIN HILLS GILBERT GLENDALE LITCHFIELD PARK / GOODYEAR MESA
PARADISE VALLEY PEORIA PHOENIX QUEEN CREEK SCOTTSDALE SUN CITY SUN CITY WEST SURPRISE TEMPE

News Calendar Education Solutions Obituaries Opinions Puzzles Sponsored Content Sports Things To Do Valley Favorites

Residents rally against unregulated Paradise Valley sober-living facility

Posted Wednesday, September 6, 2017 5:33 pm

Daily
INDEPENDENT
at YourValley.net

Subscribe to the
newsletter

Get local news in your inbox every week

* indicates required

A view of the intersection of Invergordon Road and Fanfol Drive in the Town of Paradise Valley where a sober-living facility has emerged. (Independent Newsmedia/Terrance Thornton)

A collection of residents are raising concerns regarding an alleged sober-living facility operating outside of established zoning privileges at 6710 E. Fanfol Drive in the Town of Paradise Valley. "I have lived in the same house for 47 years," said Paradise Valley resident Sande Simon in a Sept. 5 phone interview. "My husband was on the town council and what has been going on he would have never allowed --- we have a sober-living house at the end of our street." A sober-living facility, or sober home, as classified by both state and federal guidelines, seeks to help drug and alcohol addicts achieve sobriety and reintegrate into society at large. The 1968 Fair Housing Act was created to eliminate discrimination, allowing housing choices for all Americans despite, among other things, race, color, religious preference or gender. The civil rights-era act established the Office of Fair Housing & Equal Opportunity at the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, which funnels grant dollars for outreach efforts to cities across the nation. The law's 1988 amendments seeks to ensure all Americans, including recovering drug addicts and alcoholics, are treated fairly when seeking a place to call home. However, records show operations at 6710 E. Fanfol Drive are outside of established bounds for the home-based business, according to Paradise Valley Town Manager Kevin Burke. "There are 11 individuals in this home, with a maximum capacity of 14, and as such, my clients will be submitting a request for reasonable accommodation to request an accommodation to the requirement that five or less reside in this home," said Amie Mendoza, a Phoenix-based attorney representing Pinnacle Peak Recovery, the operator of the sober-living facility, in an Aug. 11 letter to Paradise Valley Town Clerk's Office. Ms. Mendoza's response came on the heels of a July 14 notice from the Town of Paradise Valley alerting the owner of the property --- Sanja Moser --- there appears to be code violations specific to a home-based business operating in a single-family neighborhood. Outlined in Resolution 1252, which was adopted by Paradise Valley Town Council in 2012, are provisions --- things like the proposed uses for the property, how it could impact the existing neighborhood and safety standards --- for what is understood as "reasonable accommodations" for the disabled in an assisted-living or sober-living situation. On Tuesday, Aug. 29 the Town of Paradise Valley declined the entity's request for a reasonable accommodation citing an incomplete form that was hand-delivered a day earlier. "This email is to inform you that the application for a reasonable accommodation that was hand-delivered to the Town of Paradise Valley on August 28, 2017 is incomplete and not in compliance with the requirements established by Resolution No. 2017-15," said Town Clerk Duncan Miller in an email to Pinnacle Peak Recovery officials. "The Request for Reasonable Accommodation application form you provided is out-of-date. A complete application is required before the application is deemed submitted, and any time frames for issuing a decision do not begin to run until a complete application is submitted." A call to a Pinnacle Peak Recovery representative --- Tyler Tisdale --- was not returned for comment.

At the end of this dead-end street is a sober living facility run by Pinnacle Peak Recovery to the chagrin of nearby neighbors. (Independent Newsmedia/Terrance Thornton)

A perplexing issue

Municipal leaders across Arizona say the regulation of sober-living facilities is a malaise of legality but local jurisdictions are entitled --- through both state and federal law --- to regulate the operations within a sober-living facility. Fueling regulation pursuits here in Arizona was the adoption of HB 2107, which provides legal framework to provide checks and balances to who is inside and how a sober-living facility is allowed to operate. In the Town of Paradise Valley, the reasonable accommodation process was established in 2012 to better manage and regulate local sober-living facilities following the letter of the law with stipulations including zoning rules specific to the criteria of

an assisted-living facility. "There has been a recent proliferation of sober group homes in Arizona and throughout the country," said Paradise Valley Councilwoman Julie Pace in a Sept. 6 statement. "Part of the reason is the monies made available by the Affordable Care Act to support such facilities operating in residential settings. Prescott had 170 sober group homes community one year ago. During the past six months, Paradise Valley has experienced its third sober group home seeking to operate in a neighborhood."

Councilwoman Pace says local zoning stipulations have built-in protections for neighborhoods when a legitimate operation emerges. "When a new business wants to operate next to a home in an established neighborhood, concerns naturally arise regarding

the number of vehicles present at the site, the flow of vans, type of services being provided, hours of operation, potential zoning code compliance issues from lighting to trash to noise, transparency of the operations, security concerns, application of deed restrictions and HOA rules, background regarding owner of property and the operator of the facility, applicability of licensing and TPT and rental tax rules, and more," she said. Councilwoman Pace also points out Paradise Valley zoning only allows for five non-related people to live under one roof.

"The town zoning ordinance provides that a maximum of five unrelated persons can live in a residence," she said. "For an operator to start a sober group home, it must seek an exception from the town to the five-person rule. For example, Pinnacle Peak Recovery seeks a maximum of 14 unrelated people to live at the sober group home facility."

LATEST OPINIONS YOURVALLEY.NET



Care bene emp focu

OPINI

I never

would

in the hospital. But w
had a series of emerg
this year, I stepped in



Opp deve data

I am a


and have been hearin
about new data cente
being built and utility
increase. The addition
have a ...



Arizi

network
Street's victory; it's A
insurance policy. Th
between Union Pacifi

...



Str keep holid brig

As Th
the hc

Arizona homes will s
festive lights and dec
these traditions bring
pose serious risks.



MOST RECENT

Julie Pace


experienced its third sober group home seeking to operate in a neighborhood." Councilwoman Pace says local zoning stipulations have built-in protections for neighborhoods when a legitimate operation emerges. "When a new business wants to operate next to a home in an established neighborhood, concerns naturally arise regarding the number of vehicles present at the site, the flow of vans, type of services being provided, hours of operation, potential zoning code compliance issues from lighting to trash to noise, transparency of the operations, security concerns, application of deed restrictions and HOA rules, background regarding owner of property and the operator of the facility, applicability of licensing and TPT and rental tax rules, and more," she said. Councilwoman Pace also points out Paradise Valley zoning only allows for five non-related people to live under one roof.

"The town zoning ordinance provides that a maximum of five unrelated persons can live in a residence," she said. "For an operator to start a sober group home, it must seek an exception from the town to the five-person rule. For example, Pinnacle Peak Recovery seeks a maximum of 14 unrelated people to live at the sober group home facility."

Councilwoman Pace also outlines the weight neighborhood chagrin often carries. "A large part of the success or failure of whether a reasonable accommodation will be granted to allow the operation of a sober group home will depend on the neighbors, the evidence they present and their participation in the process," she said. "Neighbors --- not the town --- tend to have the most influence on whether the sober group home obtains approval to operate. Operators who are transparent and run a high quality, first-class operation have the best chance of winning over neighbors to quietly fit into a low density residential neighborhood." Councilwoman Pace contends a happy medium can be struck between sober-living facility and neighborhood. "Education about the complex context in which sober group homes operate is critical," she said. "Neighbors are surprised to learn the town cannot ban sober group homes. Neighbors who are concerned about a particular operator or location need to become actively involved and organized." Councilwoman Pace explains an Aug. 10 community meeting was held regarding the operations at 6710 E. Fanfol Drive. "After a number of communications, I requested our town manager and town attorneys to hold a community meeting with the neighbors to help explain what the town can and cannot do to assist neighbors with concerns regarding a sober group home operating in their neighborhood," she explained. "The meeting occurred on Aug. 10 and was well-attended with a good robust discussion. The town manager did a great job in facilitating this community discussion and providing information and explaining the town's role and limitations." Town leaders say no reasonable accommodation hearing has been set regarding operations at 6710 E. Fanfol Drive.



Subscribers make this story possible.



MOST RECENT

Payne scores 14 as College defeats Ha 63-52

Fred Payne scored a team-high 14. Kapke added 10 off the bench, and took down Hampton, 63-52 on Tu Aidan Shaw grabbed eight rebound Eagles (3-2), who ...

Strong, Ellis combine the bench in South C 87-58 win over Radfo

Georgia jumps to cov in CFP rankings; Ohi Indiana, Texas A&M

Strack's 17 points, 13 5 blocks help No. 20 l women beat Purdue ;

Grant's double-doub Rutgers beat Americ; start 4-0 for first tim 2020-21

No. 17 Michigan State season high as a nat

From: [Chad Weaver](#)
To: [Paul Michaud](#)
Subject: FW: PV Assisted Living 10-person Care Home Citizen Review and Public Hearing Testimony
Date: Tuesday, November 18, 2025 2:35:54 PM
Attachments: [PV Homes Testimony 1125.pages](#)
[image001.png](#)



Chad Weaver
Community Development Director
Town of Paradise Valley
cweaver@paradisevalleyaz.gov
480-348-3522

From: Gale Heavilin [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 18, 2025 2:31 PM
To: Chad Weaver <cweaver@paradisevalleyaz.gov>
Subject: PV Assisted Living 10-person Care Home Citizen Review and Public Hearing Testimony

External email: use caution with links & attachments

Please accept this eleventh hour testimony for the upcoming Citizen Review and Public Hearing sessions, along with my apologies for its late submission. I had planned to be in attendance for tonight's 11/18/25 session but have had a last minute conflict arise. I hope that you will nonetheless be able to share my opinions with the Council members entrusted with making this important decision. Sincerely, Linda Heavilin

Thank you, Mayor, and Council Members. My name is Linda Heavilin and I am here to speak in support of your continuing to allow the licensing of 10-resident assisted living homes in Paradise Valley.

I'm sure there has already been and likely may continue to be debate over the zoning for, legality of and maybe even the wisdom of allowing these homes to continue, but as the wife of a current resident living in one of these homes I want to speak to the human impacts with a very brief description of my own family's experience. I short, our entire family, spanning three states and over 6000 miles, have been the grateful beneficiaries of the existing format. It would be devastating to see it change. Or to go away completely.

Almost 6 years ago, my now 82 year old spouse was diagnosed with a very rare form of Alzheimer's that initially only impacted his expressive and receptive speech abilities. Six years later this is one of the most widely-known varieties as it has recently and tragically been made famous by Bruce Willis and Wendy Williams. After 4 years caring for him alone by myself, my husband's disease progressed to a level beyond my ability to continue to care for him safely in our home. Our two sons and I made the difficult, actually gut-wrenching, decision to place him. He spent the following year in the memory care area of one of Scottsdale's premier 3-tiered IL, AL and MC facilities that it turned out could not meet his ever-changing needs. So three months ago, after an unexpected hospitalization requiring 24/7 1:1 follow-up care, we were faced again with seeking a new placement. We were fortunate to be able to relocate him to one of PV's wonderful, quiet but very limited-in-quantity, assisted living homes. Emphasis on 'home'. Not a business with shareholders expecting to make money from their investment like he had been in, but an actual 10-person family home with caring and compassionate, highly-trained and very skilled administrators and staffing, in excess of required staff:resident levels.

This option for his care has not only extended his life and added to its quality but it has also extended mine knowing that this lifelong US Marine Colonel who flew combat planes, administered global budgets and developed Pentagon policies and plans to keep our country safe for over 50 years is being treated with the dignity and respect he deserves despite no longer being capable of recognizing basic numbers and letters. Please do not vote to reduce or eliminate this essential service for an ever-growing population of vulnerable members of our own community who deserve the kind of protective care only these homes can provide. Thank you.

Linda Heavilin. [REDACTED]

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING TOWN OF PARADISE VALLEY

Notice is hereby given that the Town of Paradise Valley Planning Commission will hold two meetings regarding proposed amendments to Article XVII, Assisted Living Home, of the Town of Paradise Valley Zoning Ordinance, related to the occupancy and parking for Assisted Living Homes to align with current State of Arizona law. The proposed modification will change the occupancy from not exceeding 10 residents (not including staff) to not exceeding 6 residents (not including staff). With operators and staff included, occupancy cannot exceed 8 persons. Parking is proposed to change from all parking by staff associated with such home shall be on site, to all parking associated with such home, staff or otherwise, shall be on site.

1. A **Citizen Review Session** at **6:00 p.m. on Tuesday, November 18, 2025**, at Town Hall, 6401 East Lincoln Drive, Paradise Valley, Arizona, 85253 in accordance with Article 2-5, Section 2-5-2.G of the Town Code to gather information and provide opportunity for comment regarding the proposed amendments to Article XVII, Assisted Living Home, of the Town of Paradise Valley Zoning Ordinance.
2. A **Public Hearing** at **6:00 p.m. on Tuesday, December 2, 2025**, at Town Hall, 6401 East Lincoln Drive, Paradise Valley, Arizona, 85253 in accordance with Section 2-5-2 of the Town Code and pursuant to A.R.S. § 9-462.04 to receive public comment and make a recommendation to the Town Council on the proposed amendments to Article XVII, Assisted Living Home, of the Town of Paradise Valley Zoning Ordinance.

If you have questions about this application, please contact the Community Development Department, 6401 E. Lincoln Drive, Paradise Valley, Arizona, 480-348-3692.

The Town of Paradise Valley endeavors to make all public meetings accessible to persons with disabilities. With 72 hours advance notice, special assistance can be provided for disabled persons at public meetings. Please call 480-948-7411 (voice) or 483-1811 (TDD) to request accommodation.

All agendas are subject to change. You can view the agenda, find application material, and provide your input via eComment approximately 4-6 days prior to the meeting date at <https://paradisevalleyaz.legistar.com/Calendar.aspx>. You may also contact the staff liaison, Chad Weaver, at cweaver@paradisevalleyaz.gov or at 480-348-3522 at any time before the scheduled meeting date.

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

Megan McMahon
Duncan Miller
Town Of Paradise Valley
6401 E Lincoln DR
Paradise Valley AZ 85253-4328


STATE OF WISCONSIN, COUNTY OF BROWN

The Arizona Business Gazette Republic Edition, a newspaper published in the city of Phoenix and general circulation in the counties of Pima, Maricopa, Coconino and Pinal, State of Arizona, and personal knowledge of the facts herein state and that the notice hereto annexed was Published in said newspapers in the issue:

PNI AZ Business Gazette Rep Ed 11/01/2025

and that the fees charged are legal.


Sworn to and subscribed before on 11/01/2025



Legal Clerk



Notary, State of WI, County of Brown



My commission expires

Publication Cost: \$130.18

Tax Amount: \$0.00

Payment Cost: \$130.18

Order No: 11772048

of Copies:

Customer No: 1387287

1

PO #:

THIS IS NOT AN INVOICE!

Please do not use this form for payment remittance.

VICKY FELTY
Notary Public
State of Wisconsin

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING TOWN OF PARADISE VALLEY

Notice is hereby given that the Town of Paradise Valley Planning Commission will hold two meetings regarding proposed amendments to Article XVII, Assisted Living Home, of the Town of Paradise Valley Zoning Ordinance, related to the occupancy and parking for Assisted Living Homes to align with current State of Arizona legislation. The proposed change will change the occupancy from not exceeding 10 residents (not including staff) to not exceeding 6 residents (and including operators and staff not to exceed 8 persons). Parking is proposed to change from all parking by staff associated with such home shall be on site, to all parking associated with such home shall be on site.

1. A **Citizen Review Session** at **6:00 p.m. on Tuesday, November 18, 2025**, at Town Hall, 6401 East Lincoln Drive, Paradise Valley, Arizona, 85253 in accordance with Article 2-5, Section 2-5-2.G of the Town Code to gather information and provide opportunity for comment regarding the proposed amendments to Article XVII, Assisted Living Home, of the Town of Paradise Valley Zoning Ordinance.
2. A **Public Hearing** at **6:00 p.m. on Tuesday, December 2, 2025**, at Town Hall, 6401 East Lincoln Drive, Paradise Valley, Arizona, 85253 in accordance with Section 2-5-2 of the Town Code and pursuant to A.R.S. § 9-462.04 to receive public comment and make a recommendation to the Town Council on the proposed amendments to Article XVII, Assisted Living Home, of the Town of Paradise Valley Zoning Ordinance.

If you have questions about this application, please contact the Community Development Department, 6401 E. Lincoln Drive, Paradise Valley, Arizona, 480-348-3692.

The Town of Paradise Valley endeavors to make all public meetings accessible to persons with disabilities. With 72 hours advance notice, special assistance can be provided for disabled persons at public meetings. Please call 480-948-7411 (voice) or 483-1811 (TDD) to request accommodation.

All agendas are subject to change. You can view the agenda, find application material, and provide your input via eComment approximately 4-6 days prior to the meeting date at <https://paradisevalleyaz.legistar.com/Calendar.aspx>. You may also contact the staff liaison, Chad Weaver, at cweaver@paradisevalleyaz.gov or at 480-348-3522 at any time before the scheduled meeting date.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING NOTIFICATION

STATE OF ARIZONA)

) ss:

County of MARICOPA)

I, Cherise Fullbright, hereby certify that a Notice of Hearing regarding the proposed amendments to Article XVII, Assisted Living Home, of the Zoning Ordinance has been mailed to the 12 assisted living homes located within the Town of Paradise Valley. Such notification has been mailed on the following date: October 21, 2025.

Cherise Fullbright
Signature

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged by me this 30th day of
October, 2025, by Cherise Fullbright.
Name



Kristi M. Hillebert

NOTARY PUBLIC

My commission expires:

September 25, 2026