



Town of Paradise Valley

6401 E Lincoln Dr
Paradise Valley, AZ 85253

Minutes - Draft

Planning Commission

Wednesday, January 9, 2019

6:00 PM

Council Chambers

Special Meeting

1. CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Wastchak called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT

Town Attorney Andrew M. Miller
Community Development Director Jeremy Knapp
Senior Planner Paul Michaud
Planner George Burton
Town Engineer Paul Mood

2. ROLL CALL

Chairman Wastchak went over the agenda and thanked everyone for allowing them to add the Smoke Tree item on for Public Comment purposes. The second item on the agenda, the Major Special Use permit for Mountain View Medical, will be pushed to another meeting. He then clarified that they will not talk about it in substance and asked Mr. Knapp to explain what will happen with that item.

Mr. Knapp stated Mr. Michaud will give a brief summary of what has happened in the last 48 hours. They also have members of the public here that are interested in the project, though they have been informed it will be moved to the next meeting. He added that it would be up to Chairman Wastchak and the Board if they would like to hear public comment on that item tonight.

Chairman Wastchak stated it is his intention since it was on the agenda to allow for a few minutes of public comment.

Commissioner Campbell attended by phone.

Present 7 - Commissioner Daran Wastchak
Commissioner James Anton
Commissioner Thomas G. Campbell
Commissioner Charles Covington
Commissioner Pamela Georgelos
Commissioner Orme Lewis
Commissioner Jonathan Wainwright

3. EXECUTIVE SESSION

None

5. PUBLIC HEARINGS

- A. [19-003](#) Consideration of a Minor Special Use Permit Amendment (SUP 18-15) Ritz-Carlton Area A1 - North East Corner of Mockingbird Lane and Lincoln Drive
6651 N. Palmeraie Blvd. (Assessor's Parcel Number 174-58-355)
- Mr. Burton stated today's item is to review and act on the Special Use Permit (SUP) amendment for the Ritz-Carlton A1. The request is to modify the height of the buildings to accommodate roof articulation and elevator overrides. He then showed a vicinity map and gave background on the site. This is the second time the Commission has looked at the application. The application was first reviewed at the December 18, 2019 work session. At that time, the Commission agreed the request required a minor SUP amendment. They are requesting the modified height request for five of the seven buildings in the development area. The height modification would range from 3.5 feet to 5 feet of extra height depending on the building. He then showed the specifics of modifications requested for Buildings A and F as examples. Renderings of the view of the development from Lincoln Drive where then shown.
- Chairman Wastchak asked if the large blocks shown in the rendering are the multifamily buildings in the southeast corner and if the rendering is simply to show what the height would be and not what the development would actually look like.
- Richard Frazee, applicant, responded that the image shows masses to represent the footprint and height allowed for Parcel D entitlements. He clarified that it shows the scale and proximity of the future development but was not intended to show any sort of architecture.
- Mr. Burton stated the applicant is requesting a minor SUP amendment. He then clarified a minor SUP amendment. He added that the Commission must determine if this item constitutes a minor amendment, and staff believes that it does. Staff also suggests Recommendation B, which is to accept with stipulations.

Chairman Wastchak asked if there were any further questions.

Commissioner Georgelos asked if this is a minor SUP amendment since they are looking at it as a change of interpretation in terms of how they measure the building.

Mr. Knapp stated the change of the interpretation is a deviation from the approved plans.

Commissioner Georgelos asked if they will see this issue pop up again in the development.

Mr. Knapp responded that they have already addressed it in Areas A and B. They are reviewing plans for Area C which seems to comply. They have not seen anything on Area D yet and they will have to come back on Area E because there is no entitlement for it yet.

Chairman Wastchak clarified Area E is a commercial area and feels they may see the issue come up again a few times.

Commissioner Covington stated that Area C is single family residential.

Mr. Knapp responded that is correct.

Commissioner Covington commented that because it is single family residential they probably will not have any issues with height.

Mr. Knapp replied that is correct.

Commissioner Georgelos asked if they should have been reviewing all of these at once as opposed to by each individual parcel.

Mr. Knapp replied it depends on where the applicant is in the process. He added that if they had come together it would not have changed the nature of the request and would still be considered a minor SUP amendment.

Chairman Wastchak opened the public hearing, being none, he closed the public hearing.

Chairman Wastchak asked Commissioner Campbell if he had anything to share.

Commissioner Campbell stated he is concerned since relief going over heights have always been for chimneys, mechanical screening, and those

types of things. He then asked if these are hip roofs.

Mr. Burton responded he believes they are and on elevator overruns.

Commissioner Campbell said that elevator overruns are a no brainer. He is not so much concerned with this one since it is extremely minor, not visible from the street, and a nice development. Across the street they are being asked to consider extremely high roofs.

Commissioner Anton stated that he originally disagreed until he realized they are not breaking the plane that was originally agreed. He clarified that the height of the pad is being decreased to allow for the difference.

Chairman Wastchak apologized to Commissioner Campbell for not updating him with that information. He explained that the rest of the Commission had a lot of angst about the request until they understood it would not break the plane approved in the original improvement.

Commissioner Campbell stated that with this new understanding he withdraws his earlier comments.

Commissioner Covington asked Mr. Knapp if he could remind them of the elevation of that plane.

Mr. Knapp stated the existing grade is 1307 and they were allowed two feet of fill on top of that bringing it to 1309 and putting the plane at 1345. They are at 1345 but some of the faces of the building are higher than the 36 or 38 feet allowed.

Commissioner Campbell commented that he feels it is a big improvement on the esthetic of the building.

A motion was made by Commissioner Campbell, seconded by Commissioner Wainwright, to to deem the requested amendment as a minor amendment to the Special Use Permit zoning per the criteria listed in Section 1102.7.B of the Zoning Ordinance. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 7 - Commissioner Wastchak, Commissioner Anton, Commissioner Campbell, Commissioner Covington, Commissioner Georgelos, Commissioner Lewis and Commissioner Wainwright

A motion was made by Commissioner Georgelos, seconded by Commissioner Covington, to approve the Ritz-Carlton Minor Special Use Permit Amendment for modifications to the building heights in Area A1 (The Ritz-Carlton Resort Villas), subject to the following stipulations:

1. All improvements to the property shall be in substantial compliance with the Narrative, prepared by Nelsen Partners, Inc. and dated November 30, 2018.
2. All improvements to the property shall be in substantial compliance with the

Plans, prepared by Nelsen Partners, Inc. and dated November 30, 2018.

3. The property owner and Town shall sign and record a Waiver of Rights and Remedies agreement under A.R.S. § 12-1134 (Proposition 207 Waiver) in the form provided by the Town Attorney within 10 calendar days of the approval of this amendment to the Special Use Permit.

4. All existing Special Use Permit stipulations shall remain in full force and effect, unless changed or modified by the Minor Amendment SUP-18-15.

The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 7 - Commissioner Wastchak, Commissioner Anton, Commissioner Campbell, Commissioner Covington, Commissioner Georgelos, Commissioner Lewis and Commissioner Wainwright

4. STUDY SESSION ITEMS

[19-005](#) Discussion of Major Special Use Permit Amendment (SUP-18-05)
7101 E Lincoln Drive - Smoke Tree Resort Study Session #4

Indexes: Smoketree Resort

Chairman Wastchak asked Mr. Knapp to walk the commission through this Item.

Mr. Knapp stated Smoke Tree Resort was going to submit some additional information today as a reaction to comments that they were given over the last couple meetings, but he has not received it yet, so they will discuss that at their next meeting. The schedule moving forward is to hear about the submittal and the stipulations at the meeting on January 22, 2019. They have seen it three times so far, but they only have two meetings between now and when they are expected to make a recommendation to council. In order to request an extension, he will have to do that at the January 24, 2019 Town Council meeting, so there is no time between now and that meeting to discuss it as a commission where they are and how much time they have. He added that the SOD would have the hearing by February 5, 2019. He mentioned to the applicant that they may be asking for additional time. He added that once the packet from the applicant comes in he can reach back out to him about the additional time.

Chairman Wastchak clarified that they need to submit this a week before the Council Meeting on January 24, 2019 in order to get it on the agenda.

Mr. Knapp stated he could ask to put it on Council's agenda and the commission could meet on the January 22, 2019. He can then let the Town Council know if it is necessary. He clarified that they can ask for more time.

Chairman Wastchak stated that unless there is an objection from the commission he intends to ask Mr. Knapp to put it is on council's agenda for

the January 24, 2019.

No objections were made.

Chairman Wastchak asked Mr. Knapp if he had any further advice.

Mr. Knapp stated that he will discuss a date with the applicant. He might suggest the second meeting in February or March.

Chairman Wastchak asked if he needed to present a date on the agenda item or can it simply be put on the agenda for discussion.

Mr. Knapp responded that typically they put a date.

Chairman Wastchak commented that it is a little more complicated than he thought with having to get a specific date, but he still feels they should move forward as previously discussed.

Mr. Knapp stated he will put it down for the January 24, 2019. He added that he has updated attachment H which is a compilation of all the public comments he received. He specified that the December 12, 2018 and December 8, 2018 letters were not in the previous packet. The comments were also provided to the applicant.

Chairman Wastchak thanked Mr. Knapp for the update and work he has done.

Commissioner Lewis asked if the geometry of the ingress and egress has worked out.

Mr. Knapp stated it would be best for the town engineer to give the update on that.

Mr. Mood stated the ingress and the egress has not been nailed down. He added that he will give a presentation on the median access at the January 24, 2019 Council Work Study. The recommendation still stands for Smoke Tree and Lincoln Medical to share a joint access driveway.

Chairman Wastchak asked if they are sharing a driveway.

Mr. Mood clarified that is staff's recommendation.

Chairman Wastchak stated with Lincoln Medical he was comfortable letting that item move forward to Council without having been resolved, but he is

not comfortable allowing the same thing to happen with Smoke Tree. He added that he can be convinced otherwise but at this point he does not want to move it on to Council until they have sorted things out because it impacts what they approve.

Mr. Knapp clarified that the site plan that they saw from Smoke Tree during the last meeting, did have the drive way in the place recommended by Mr. Mood's report. They also verbally told him they are open to a cross access with Lincoln Medical.

Commissioner Anton asked how Lincoln Medical feels about it.

Mr. Knapp responded that they submitted updated information today and it is still showing the same site plan as before.

Chairman Wastchak stated they will handle that as it comes along. He added at least Smoke Tree is addressing the recommendations from the town. He then asked Mr. Mood if this is something that could be sorted out in the next 30 days or as a discussion that will not see a resolution very soon.

Mr. Mood stated from his understanding Lincoln Medical would like to see a joint access on the property line. He added that he does not see a way to get it there and meet the engineering and safety standards. He has spoken with Scottsdale to see if they could extend the median further into Scottsdale among other things, but they responded by email today stating they would not support that.

Chairman Wastchak responded that is good background to have and they will have to wait to see how this goes.

Commissioner Campbell stated he also feels they need to resolve this. He also has a feeling the reason they have not seen anything from Lincoln Medical is because we let them have the buy, however, that was only given to them because Smoke Tree was still in development. He is afraid if they kick the can down they will get even less cooperation.

Commissioner Wainwright stated he thinks it is good to look at it as a whole. They would be doing a disservice the medical center if they do something they do not like, and they need to show fairness to all concerned.

Commissioner Covington asked if what they could do is kick that decision to the Council.

Chairman Wastchak replied that if it is still being discussed after it leaves the Commission it would be. He then asked if there were any other questions for staff after which he opened the meeting up for public comments.

Jini Simpson asked what the current setback is. She then stated that her concern is when the project across the street was done, they talked at great length of how this was the entrance to the Town. Because it was the entrance they asked them to dedicate an additional 25 feet of land, so they had a setback of 65 feet. That land then became Town property which the applicant had to landscape. She added that she does not understand that the Town entrance would only be on the north, because that is what they are doing by not asking for the same setbacks on the south side. She also feels every applicant should be treated equal.

Chairman Wastchak asked Mr. Knapp the setback.

Mr. Knapp responded he believes it is 65 feet.

Chairman Wastchak asked if the 65 feet starts from center.

Mr. Knapp replied he did not know but would pull the plan up to see.

Ms. Simpson stated they also need to remember they have a law about density in this town.

Chairman Wastchak stated the setback on Five Star was the same 65 feet from center line.

Mr. Miller stated originally in 2008 there was a 25-foot roadway easement and 40 feet of existing right of way. Then in 2016, when they did the amended SUP, they converted that to a dedicated right of way. In addition to that because of the open space criteria and the desire for greater setbacks because of the general plan, the Council asked to have those greater setbacks.

Chairman Wastchak stated they did 25 feet of dedication and a 50-foot setback from the dedication line.

Mr. Knapp stated it is a 65-foot set back from the existing right away which is 33 feet from the center line.

Ms. Simpson stated they should look at the proposed 34% density as opposed to 25% on most of those properties.

Chairman Wastchak stated they received the letter from the Adnaz resort owner Gary Stougaard and thanked him for sharing with them.

Mr. Stougaard stated that he came to another meeting and there was a lot less dialogue than he thought there would be, and he thought he would be a lot more involved with the process than he has been. When he was developing he was told it was the Commission's way or the highway and all his setbacks are at an 80-foot minimum. He had to get a variance on the east side for his new lobby building, because 5 feet of his building stuck into the 100-foot setback. There was a lot of discussion about height and density. He clarified that he would have loved to develop something more intense on his 24 acres. The people from Smoke Tree called him and told him of the project they were proposing, and he believed it would not have the Town's support because it was so far out of the box.

Mr. Stougaard continued stating the biggest issues he has with the development is the narrow setbacks. They want to build something 30 feet tall 20 feet away from his property and he has a problem with that. He also feels it does not make sense to put for sale residential on the top of their property. He added part of the reason he owns the property he does is because the people who owned it previously could not get more density than one unit per acre. The only reason he believes they want residential is because this is the only way they can recoup their costs. He feels this is so intense that he cannot support it and it does not fit with what Paradise Valley is all about. He then added that it does not make sense to him that they have purchased such an expensive piece of land, plan to put parking underground, and to put residential on top. He knows that it is hard to make money running a hotel and he feels that is why they want residential too. More importantly all the rules he had to comply with and the conversations he had before he built is a whole different program than what these guys are in.

Chairman Wastchak stated the Statement of Direction (SOD) they received from Council suggested pushing heights to the south and east boarder, one of which the Andaz resort.

Mr. Stougaard commented that he already has that, and it is awful. He feels if condominiums go up 20 feet from his property he will have to deal with them every day. He is disappointed that is the direction they got from Council. He then reiterated that he disagrees with someone putting 30-foot wall 20 feet from his property.

Commissioner Wainwright responded that this is a game changer from two weeks ago. He added that when they make major changes they like to consider the impact from adjacent properties. Before they had seen Mr.

Stougaard as silent, but they now have a better understanding on how he feels.

Mr. Stougaard commented that he was precluded from even building a two-story hotel and the plans he is seeing here is a three-story building 60 feet closer to his property than his own setback. He apologized for being silent at first and explained that it was because he believed the plans would be rejected and so he would not have to say anything.

Commissioner Anton asked Mr. Stougaard what he would do with the property if he owned it.

Mr. Stougaard stated as a developer you look at what your basis is so that you can develop it in order to recoup your costs and so he understands why they would want density. Do you start a 120-room hotel with no underground parking or do you double down with parking and put residential on top to recoup the cost. He then stated that he guarantees that this does not pencil unless they can sell a lot of residential. One of his answers to the question is that it does not make any sense. The second is that they need to go two stories in order to make any money. He would put the tallest point at the center of the property and figure out how to make it work, which is what the Commission told him on his property. He added that to push it away from Lincoln Drive and next to his guest rooms is inconsistent with him having to have 80-foot setbacks.

Chairman Wastchak asked if there were any other questions.

Commissioner Campbell stated he really appreciates Mr. Stougaard coming forward and explaining where he stands.

Chairman Wastchak clarified that the information was shared with the applicant as well. He then thanked Mr. Stougaard and encouraged him to stay involved.

Mr. Stougaard stated they can assume he will be involved. He apologized that his silence was viewed as acceptance, because nothing could be further from the truth. He is happy to respond to any questions. He added that he played by the rules when he did his project and because this is so far from the rules he played by, he never thought it would come to this point.

Chairman Wastchak clarified that Mr. Knapp reached out to the applicant and they were not able to come tonight. When they get to the next meeting on January 22, 2019 they will have an opportunity to respond. He then asked the applicant's legal representative if she had a response at this time. She replied that she will wait until next time.

No Reportable Action**A. [19-002](#)**

Discussion of Major Special Use Permit Amendment (SUP-18-12)
10555 N Tatum Boulevard - Mountain View Medical Center

Chairman Wastchak stated they would first hear from Paul Michaud after which they will allow for questions from the Planning Commission followed by three five-minute timed presentations for public comments.

Commissioner Covington asked if everyone is aware that this item has been pulled from the agenda.

Chairman Wastchak stated everyone is aware.

Mr. Michaud stated that the applicant has requested to move this item to the next meeting on January 22, 2019. The request is to demolish the six existing buildings and replace them with new buildings. The topics they were going to discuss tonight were in regard to parking, traffic circulation, landscaping, and infrastructure. Those items will carry over to the next meeting.

Chairman Wastchak asked for him to go over the timeline if he is prepared to do so.

Mr. Michaud stated that because they are requesting to demolish a structure there are different levels of amendments the highest being a major amendment. A major amendment requires that they go through both a Planning Commission and Town Council review. Part of that is a Statement of Direction (SOD) which Council gives to the Planning Commission. In the SOD the Council gives a target date of when they would like to have a recommendation back. In this case the date is no later than March 5, 2019, which they believe is when the hearing will be on this item. There is always the opportunity for the Commission to ask the Council for more time if it is needed. Once it is out of the Commission, Council will have separate work sessions and then a public hearing.

Mr. Michaud clarified that the public gets notice at three different stages. First, the citizen review meeting which must be ten days prior to the Commission recommendation. The applicant believed that would be around the middle or end of January, but that probably will shift to a later time.

Commissioner Georgelos asked how they will be notified.

Mr. Michaud responded that everyone within 1,500 feet of the site will get a notification in the mail. He added that he also sends the list out to people

who he has emails. They can also sign up on the online system to get notice of all the agendas and not just this particular one.

Mr. Michaud continued stating that the citizen review is for the applicant and the citizens, staff is not there, but a report is sent back to them. They will get notice again when the Commission makes a recommendation and again when Council makes a decision.

Chairman Wastchak stated that when they had their last discussion they clarified that there would be opportunities for the neighbors and the community to really talk, but last time and tonight they have allowed for them to have a little extra opportunity. He then asked if the Commission had any questions for staff.

Commissioner Anton asked if anything they talked about at the last meeting has been addressed by the applicant.

Mr. Michaud replied that the applicant heard the comments and is working to revise the application.

Chairman Wastchak commented that they had a lot of good feedback last time and they appreciate the applicant working to address some of those things. He then stated they would now hear comments from Paul Cooter.

Paul Couture shared that today at around 4:20 pm the back up to turn left onto Shea Boulevard was almost all the way up to Onyx Road, which blocked him from being able to make a left turn onto Shea Boulevard. He recommends that those at the meeting today drive that intersection at that time to see how much traffic there is on this street. During this same experience he also looked over at the medical center and saw that there were plenty of cars there. He is concerned that if they are doubling the size than there will be that much more traffic. He clarified that he is not against remodeling, but he is against not being able to get out of his neighborhood. Some people would suggest for him to move, but the location is so close to many places that he likes and enjoys. He does not want to lose the neighborhood feeling they have there.

Joanne Ciemo stated that her letter was read last month at the Commission meeting. She clarified that they are a neighborhood and not a buffer zone. She then explained what a neighborhood is to her. The idea of if you build it, it will come is not something that always works in life, for example, she drives to Mesa to see her cardiologist. Planners and developers who want to anticipate their needs should ask them their needs. She then stated some of the things she would like to see including a police station, city offices, a library, a historical center, or a park. She then added

that if something is dated it does not need to be torn down it needs to be updated. She feels they need to think outside the box and look at their priorities. She then asked what is in this for us and what is in this for you.

Kenneth Goldstein shared a story from 1977 about the Egyptian President visiting Israel for the beginning of a peace initiative. He stated he shared this because he is uncomfortable with a comment he read in the newspaper where someone said it is unrealistic to think nothing will happen. He then stated he thought the purpose of the hearings were to see if things were to be done. He then gave some background on himself including that he is a psychologist and is experienced helping introduce medical programs into the community. He has serious concerns about the expansion of the medical center. His major concern is traffic. He then shared some of the problems they are already experiencing with traffic in that area which he anticipates becoming worse with the expansion of the medical center. He also feels parking is a problem, specifically on street parking since there are no sidewalks which creates a safety hazard for pedestrians. He also feels they do not need any other additional service there. He then asked them not to destroy the community for him and his neighbors.

Chairman Wastchak thanked all the speakers. He then asked Mr. Michaud when the next opportunity would be for the members of the community to share their thoughts on this would be.

Mr. Michaud responded under normal circumstances it would be March 5, 2019 but before that they will have the citizen review meeting with the applicant. They will get a notice for both of those meetings.

Mr. Michaud stated the next meeting would be January 22, 2019 which is a work session.

Commissioner Anton commented that if they are ever in doubt of when meetings are they can go to the Town website. If they miss the meeting, they can also catch up on what happened from the available videos.

Commissioner Campbell stated that on page one of the executive summary it states there will be 64 additional trips at the am peak hour and 107 additional trips at the peak pm hour. He then asked if anyone knew how many cars per hour are on Tatum Boulevard and Shea Boulevard.

Mr. Mood stated if you give him a minute he could let them know.

Chairman Wastchak stated that at any time people can send thoughts and comments to Mr. Michaud to be shared with the Commission.

Mr. Vogel stated they are also seeing a lot of time that people are making U-turns right at Beryl Avenue. He added that the congestion there is bad, and they are worried it will get worse throughout the entire day.

A resident asked if they are the right people to talk to about including language for what the applicant wants to do about no marijuana dispensaries.

Chairman Wastchak stated they are, and they will review that for their final stipulations and their recommendation to Council. He added that if she has thoughts she wants to share to send them to Mr. Michaud.

Mr. Michaud clarified they will put that in the stipulations, but the applicant has already stated that there would not be marijuana dispensaries.

Another resident stated there will still be pharmacies and urgent care they are looking for. She then asked if they are looking for a three and half to five-year build time.

Mr. Michaud responded that they have been asked to shorten the build time.

Another resident asked if the meeting they will get notification of will be directly with the applicant and what the meeting will look like.

Mr. Michaud stated normally what they will do is have a presentation which goes over what they are doing and then they will give neighbors an opportunity to comment and speak as well. They are also required to give staff a report of who was there and a summary of the meeting.

Chairman Wastchak commented that they will find a location to host it.

Mr. Michaud replied that he believes they were thinking of holding it in the Town Hall Community Room.

Mr. Mood stated he could only find the a.m. and p.m. peak and not the full 24 hours.

Chairman Wastchak commented that they will have to do a little more digging to get the answer to Commissioner Campbell's question.

Chairman Wastchak stated they will have more detail on that for their meeting on January 22, 2019. He thanked all who came and participated and asked them to continue to come and share their thoughts.

Commissioner Covington pointed out on the website they have access to all the plans and the narrative.

Chairman Wastchak thanked everyone for coming and let them know they are welcome to stay.

No Reportable Action

6. ACTION ITEMS

None

7. CONSENT AGENDA

A. [18-497](#) Approval of December 4, 2018 Planning Commission Minutes

A motion was made by Commissioner Georgelos, seconded by Commissioner Anton, to approve the December 4, 2018 minutes with one edit from Commissioner Campbell on Page 13 to modify add the word "that" related to the screening benefits. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 7 - Commissioner Wastchak, Commissioner Anton, Commissioner Campbell, Commissioner Covington, Commissioner Georgelos, Commissioner Lewis and Commissioner Wainwright

B. [19-004](#) Approval of December 18, 2018 Planning Commission Minutes

A motion was made by Commissioner Georgelos, seconded by Commissioner Covington, to approve the December 18, 2018 minutes. Commissioner Campbell asked staff to clarify the 30-foot radius statement on Page 8 as to whether or not this refers to curb radius or the sight triangle. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 7 - Commissioner Wastchak, Commissioner Anton, Commissioner Campbell, Commissioner Covington, Commissioner Georgelos, Commissioner Lewis and Commissioner Wainwright

8. STAFF REPORTS

Mr. Knapp stated the Town Manger that was selected withdrew and so they will be starting over with the process. Brian Dalke will continue as the Interim Town Manager.

Mr. Knapp stated they might consider starting the February 5, 2019 meeting an hour or two early since he believes it will be a long meeting. They do not have to make a decision on that tonight since they can discuss it further at their next meeting.

Commissioner Campbell stated that he will have to phone in on that meeting as well, but he could call in at either time.

Chairman Wastchak stated that he will not be able to start earlier that day. He then asked if there is something that would prevent them from spreading those items to a different meeting.

Mr. Knapp stated that is the night of the hearing for Smoke Tree if they do not get an extension, so they do not have a lot of flexibility there, and whether they get an extension or not he believes they will have a lot to talk about. One item they could move is the rules and procedures.

Chairman Wastchak stated it will be a long night and thanked Mr. Knapp for bringing it to their attention. He added that Mr. Knapp and himself could talk about what other flexibility they may have off line.

Mr. Knapp stated they will have iPads available as early as the next Commission meeting. He will be working with the IT director next week in terms of delivering them and setting them up.

Chairman Wastchak clarified that they will get more information about the iPads at the next meeting

Commissioner Campbell asked what the procedure will be with the iPad. Will they keep them, or will they be turned in at the end of each meeting.

Mr. Knapp responded that they would keep the iPad 24/7 until they are no longer serving on the commission. They will use an app in the iPads to see agendas, packets, and more. He clarified that is how everything will be distributed to them for their meetings. He added that they can download all the documents before the meeting, so they would not need to use service or Wi-Fi.

9. PUBLIC BODY REPORTS

None

10. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

None

11. ADJOURNMENT

A motion was made by Commissioner Lewis at 7:45 p.m., seconded by Commissioner Covington, to adjourn the meeting. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 7 - Commissioner Wastchak, Commissioner Anton, Commissioner Campbell, Commissioner Covington, Commissioner Georgelos, Commissioner Lewis and Commissioner Wainwright

Paradise Valley Planning Commission

By: _____
Jeremy Knapp, Secretary